Evidence for the activity of lamotrigine at 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptors in the mouse forced swimming test
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Background: The antiepileptic drug lamotrigine is effective in the treatment of focal epilepsies. It is thought to act by inhibition of glutamate release through blockade of voltage-sensitive sodium channels and stabilization of the neuronal membrane. Lamotrigine is also effective in the treatment of mood disorders such as bipolar disorder. However, its exact mechanism of action in these conditions remains unclear. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the antidepressant-like effect of lamotrigine in a mouse model of depression, namely the forced swimming test (FST). Association studies using specific and nonspecific ligands acting on serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT\textsubscript{1}) receptor subtypes were undertaken to evaluate the potential role of these receptors in the anti-immobility effect of lamotrigine. Methods: The mouse FST was performed after single administration of lamotrigine. Subactive doses of lamotrigine were administered in association with subactive doses of the following 5-HT\textsubscript{1} receptor agonists or antagonists: 8-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propilamino)-tetrailin (8-OH-DPAT, a standard 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptor selective agonist), pindolol (a presynaptic and postsynaptic 5-HT\textsubscript{1A/1B} receptor antagonist), NAN-190 (a 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptor antagonist), RU 24969 (a 5-HT\textsubscript{1A/1B} receptor agonist) and anpirtoline (5-HT\textsubscript{1A} agonist). Results: Lamotrigine impaired spontaneous locomotor activity at doses of 4 mg/kg or greater, and activity decreased by more than 50% at the 16 mg/kg dose. When administered alone, lamotrigine (8 and 16 mg/kg) decreased immobility time in the FST. Only 8-OH-DPAT (1 mg/kg), pindolol (32 mg/kg) and RU 24969 (0.5 mg/kg) enhanced the antidepressant-like effect of lamotrigine in the FST. Conclusions: These results suggest that postsynaptic 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptors might be involved in the activity of lamotrigine. Furthermore, they demonstrate that lamotrigine more closely resembles valproate and carbamazepine than lithium, with the advantage of an anti-immobility effect in the mouse FST when administered on its own.

Contexte : La lamotrigine, anti-épileptique, est efficace dans le traitement de l’épilepsie focale. On croit que l’action de ce médicament fait appel à l’inhibition de la libération de glutamate par le blocage des canaux sodiques sensibles aux variations de tension et la stabili- sation de la membrane neuronale. La lamotrigine est aussi efficace dans le traitement des troubles de l’humeur, comme le trouble bipo- laire. Par ailleurs, on ne sait pas exactement quel mécanisme d’action est en jeu dans ces affections. La présente étude vise à évaluer l’effet antidépresseur de la lamotrigine dans un modèle de dépression chez la souris, nommément le test de la nage forcée (TNF). On a effectué des études d’association faisant appel à des ligants spécifiques et non spécifiques agissant sur les sous-types de récepteurs de la sérotonine (5-HT\textsubscript{1}) afin d’évaluer le rôle que pourraient jouer ces récepteurs dans l’effet anti-immobilité de la lamotrigine. Méthodes : Le TNF chez la souris a été réalisé après administration d’une seule dose de lamotrigine à chaque animal. Des doses sous-actives de lamotrigine ont été administrées conjointement avec des doses sous-actives des agonistes ou antagonistes des récepteurs de la 5-HT\textsubscript{1}, voici : la 8-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propilamino)-tétraline (8-OH-DPAT, agoniste sélectif standard des récepteurs de la 5-HT\textsubscript{1A}), le pindolol (antagoniste des récepteurs présynaptiques et post-synaptiques de la 5-HT\textsubscript{1A/1B}), le NAN-190 (antagoniste des récepteurs de la 5-HT\textsubscript{1A}), le RU 24969 (agoniste des récepteurs de la 5-HT\textsubscript{1A/1B}) et l’anpirtoline (agoniste de la 5-HT\textsubscript{1A}). Résultats : Administrée à des doses d’au moins 4 mg/kg, la lamotrigine altère l’activité locomotrice spontanée. On a observé une diminution de l’activité de plus de 50 % après l’administration de la dose de 16 mg/kg. Administrée seule, la lamotrigine (8 et 16 mg/kg) a diminué le temps d’immobilité dans le TNF. Seuls le 8-OH-DPAT (1 mg/kg), le pindolol (32 mg/kg) et le RU 24969 (0.5 mg/kg) ont augmenté l’effet antidépresseur de la lamotrigine dans le TNF. Conclusions : Ces résultats indiquent que les récepteurs post-synaptiques de la 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} pourraient jouer un rôle dans l’ac- tivité de la lamotrigine. De plus, ils démontrent que la lamotrigine, qui s’apparente davantage au valproate et à la carbamazépine qu’au lithium, offre l’avantage, administrée seule, d’avoir un effet anti-immobilité dans le TNF chez la souris.
Introduction

The antiepileptic drug lamotrigine [3,5-diamino-6(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,4-triazine] is effective in the treatment of focal epilepsies with or without secondary generalization. Its mechanism of action is linked to blockade of voltage-sensitive sodium channels of the neuronal membrane, inhibition of release of excitatory amino acids such as glutamate and aspartate, and calcium-channel blockade.12

Lamotrigine is also effective in the treatment of mood disorders such as bipolar disorder.4 Over the past several decades, there has been continuing interest in established antiepileptic drugs such as carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine and on the new generation of antiepileptic drugs such as lamotrigine and topiramate for the treatment of bipolar and unipolar depression.3–6 Over the past several decades, there has been continuing interest in established antiepileptic drugs such as carbamazepine and oxcarbazepine and on the new generation of antiepileptic drugs such as lamotrigine and topiramate for the treatment of bipolar disorder.7 Lamotrigine is superior to placebo in terms of prolonging time to intervention for depression in patients with mania or hypomania and in patients with depression.9

Certain antidepressants as well as the antiepileptic drug carbamazepine have calcium-channel-blocking properties which may be relevant to the physiopathology of epilepsies and affective disorders. Several clinical studies have evaluated the antimanic and antidepressant actions of calcium-channel blockers such as verapamil, diltiazem and nimodipine.8,10 The principal mechanism of action of these drugs in the treatment and prevention of bipolar I disorder may be blockade of sodium and calcium channels in presynaptic neurons and subsequent stabilization of the neuronal membrane.11

Furthermore, in a recent study,11 the effect of lamotrigine on serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT)1A-receptor-mediated adenylcyclase responses in various regions of the rat brain was evaluated in vivo. The results suggested a possible mode of action of lamotrigine: downregulation of cortical 5-HT1A-receptor-mediated adenylcyclase responses. This pathway seems to be implicated in the therapeutic action of various classes of mood stabilizers. There is also new evidence for a role of 5-HT in the pathophysiology of manic–depressive illness. A recent study of subjects with a history of bipolar disorder, which used in situ hybridization to quantify 5-HT1A, 5-HT1B and 5-HT2A mRNA levels in the hippocampus and dorsal prefrontal cortex, showed that 5-HT receptor mRNA expression was altered in these subjects.12

Lithium, a classical mood stabilizer, is generally considered the treatment of choice for bipolar I disorder, decreasing the severity, length and recurrence of manic episodes, but it also has significant antidepressant properties in treating both bipolar and unipolar depression. A previous study14 demonstrated that lithium, carbamazepine and sodium valproate could act on certain 5-HT, receptor subtypes in the forced swimming test (FST). Carbamazepine and sodium valproate are also effective in the treatment of several forms of affective disorders, such as treatment-resistant depression and manic depression.15

Animal models of bipolar disorders are a challenge to develop because of the complex interplay of mania, depression, euthymia and mixed states. Several animal models have been proposed, but they only partially match the illness, modelling either depression or manic behaviour, but not both.19

Many validated animal models of depression that fulfil the criteria of face, construct and predictive validity can be used. The FST is a useful model because it is quick and relatively simple to perform,20 and it has been used to investigate the mechanism of antidepressant action.16 The FST is also sensitive to compounds acting on the 5-HT system.21,22

To pursue our work on the role of 5-HT receptors in the mechanism of action of antiepileptic drugs in bipolar disorders, we used the FST to investigate the antidepressant-like effect of lamotrigine.

We also undertook several association studies involving lamotrigine. The use of association studies with 5-HT ligands in the field of depression has been widely reported. Certain preclinical studies have reported an interaction between antidepressants and 5-HT1A and/or 5-HT1 receptor ligands in animal models of depression.23,24 The anti-immobility effect of noradrenaline reuptake inhibitors (NRIs) may be mediated by postsynaptic 5-HT1A receptor subtypes, whereas the 5-HT1A receptor subtype in particular has been suggested to play a role in the anti-immobility effect of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) or imipramine.25,26 In addition, there is some clinical and preclinical evidence that augmentation with pindolol is effective in accelerating or enhancing the effects of antidepressants drugs such as the SSRIs and NRIs.27–29

Association studies with lamotrigine and the most specific brain-penetrating ligands for 5-HT1A and 5-HT1B receptors currently available would help in elucidating the mechanisms of action of the potential antidepressant-like effect of lamotrigine. We therefore undertook association studies with the following agents: 8-hydroxy-2-(di-n-propylamino)-tetralin (8-OH-DPAT), a standard 5-HT1A receptor selective agonist;29 pindolol, a presynaptic and postsynaptic 5-HT1A receptor antagonist;29 NAN-190, a 5-HT1A receptor antagonist;30 RU24969, a 5-HT1A/1B receptor agonist;30 anpirtoline, a highly potent 5-HT1A agonist that crosses the blood–brain barrier.31

Materials and methods

Animals

Naïve male Swiss mice (Elevage R. Janvier, Le Genest, France) were housed at constant room temperature (20°C, standard deviation [SD] 1°C) under a standard light–dark cycle, with free access to food and water. They were housed for at least 1 week before testing, in groups of 18 per cage (40 cm × 28 cm × 17 cm). Their average body weight on the day of the study was 20 (SD 2) g. Each mouse was used only once in a given experimental session. Mice were assigned at random to the treatment groups, each of which consisted of 10–12 mice. All experiments were performed within the guidelines of the French Ministry of Agriculture for experiments with laboratory animals (law 87 848) and with the approval of the research committee of the Université de Nantes.

Drugs

The following drugs were used: lamotrigine base; 8-OH-DPAT; (α) pindolol; NAN-190 [1-(2-methoxyphenyl)-4-[4-(2-
Lamotrigine 5-HT₁₆ activity in the forced swimming test

Experimenters.

In the last 4 minutes of the 6-minute period by trained experimenters.

above water. The duration of immobility was recorded during the last 4 minutes of the 6-minute period by trained experimenters.

The 8-OH-DPAT, RU 24969 and anpirtoline were dissolved in distilled water, whereas the lamotrigine, (+) pindolol and NAN-190 were dissolved in a 1% aqueous solution of Tween 80. Each drug or the vehicle (distilled water) was administered intraperitoneally at a constant volume of 0.5 mL/20 g body weight.

Psychopharmacologic tests

Actimeter tests were performed to examine the effects of the various drugs on spontaneous locomotor activity. The animals were kept in the test room for 1 hour before the test for habituation. After injection of vehicle or drug, the mice were placed in holding cages. The spontaneous activity of naïve animals was recorded using a photoelectric actimeter (Osys, Changé, France). This apparatus consists of transparent cages from which the animal’s activity is measured by light beams connected to a phototastic cell. The total number of horizontal cage crossings was recorded over a period of 10 minutes. The actimeter test was performed independently of the FST test.

The FST was essentially the same as described in detail elsewhere. Mice were dropped individually into glass cylinders (height 25 cm, diameter 10 cm) containing 15 cm of water maintained at 23°C to 25°C and left there for 6 minutes. A mouse was judged immobile when it floated upright in the water and made only small movements to keep its head above water. The duration of immobility was recorded during the last 4 minutes of the 6-minute period by trained experimenters.

Procedure for single-administration studies

Actimeter tests were performed to examine the effect of lamotrigine on spontaneous locomotor activity. A wide range of doses was tested (0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 mg/kg), and a vehicle-treated group was included as a control.

Lamotrigine was tested alone in the FST to determine its potential antidepressant-like effect. In the first experiment, lamotrigine was administered 30 minutes before the test (at doses of 0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 mg/kg). In the second experiment, lamotrigine was administered 45 minutes before the test (at doses of 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 mg/kg).

Procedure for association studies

Subactive doses of lamotrigine were defined as those that did not on their own reduce mobility in the FST. In the association studies, the lamotrigine was administered 45 minutes before testing in the FST, and the 5-HT ligands were administered 30 minutes before testing.

Two subactive doses of lamotrigine were administered in association with one subactive dose of each 5-HT receptor ligand. The subactive doses of the 5-HT receptor ligands, determined in previous studies, were as follows (data not shown): 8-OH-DPAT, 1 mg/kg; (+) pindolol, 32 mg/kg; NAN-190; 0.5 mg/kg; RU 24969, 0.5 mg/kg; and anpirtoline, 1 mg/kg.

Data analysis

Results are expressed as percentage of the value observed in control animals or as means (and standard error of the mean [SEM]). The normal distribution of data was verified by a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. The effect of lamotrigine on mouse behaviour in the actimeter test and in the FST was determined by a 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Dunnett’s test for comparison with control groups and by a 2-way ANOVA followed by a Sidak test for association studies. All analyses were conducted using the SPSS program for IBM-compatible computers.

Results

Single administration of lamotrigine significantly decreased the spontaneous locomotor activity of mice in the actimeter test relative to the control group (control value 169.3 [SEM 10.7] crossings). With the 4 mg/kg dose, activity was 74% of control (p = 0.04), and a maximal effect was observed at the 16 mg/kg dose (25% of control) (p ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 1). At the 64 mg/kg dose, lamotrigine produced seizures leading to death of the mice.

Administration of lamotrigine at a dose of 8 mg/kg 30 minutes before the FST decreased the immobility time of mice to 88% of control values (p ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 2). At the 16 mg/kg dose, the immobility time was 83% of control (p ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 2). At the 16 mg/kg dose, the immobility time was 83% of control (p ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 2). Administration of lamotrigine at a dose of 32 mg/kg 45 minutes before the FST significantly decreased the immobility time of mice, to 59% of control values (p ≤ 0.001) (Fig. 3).

Fig. 1: Effect of lamotrigine on spontaneous locomotor activity. The results, in terms of percentage relative to the control group, are expressed as means and standard error of the mean (SEM) (n = 10 in each group). The asterisks indicate significant differences from the vehicle-treated (control) group, determined by 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s test: *p ≤ 0.05, ***p ≤ 0.001.

Fig. 2: Effect of lamotrigine on spontaneous locomotor activity. The results, in terms of percentage relative to the control group, are expressed as means and standard error of the mean (SEM) (n = 10 in each group). The asterisks indicate significant differences from the vehicle-treated (control) group, determined by 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnett’s test: *p ≤ 0.05, ***p ≤ 0.001.
Treatment with the subactive dose of 8-OH-DPAT (1 mg/kg) in combination with subactive doses of lamotrigine (2 and 4 mg/kg) reduced immobility time in the FST relative to lamotrigine alone, by 24% at 2 mg/kg lamotrigine and by 28% at 4 mg/kg lamotrigine ($F_{5,54} = 11.44, p \leq 0.001$) (Fig. 4). Treatment with the subactive dose of pindolol (32 mg/kg) in combination with subactive doses of lamotrigine (2 and 4 mg/kg) reduced immobility time in the FST relative to lamotrigine alone, by 13% at both doses of lamotrigine ($F_{5,54} = 18.69, p \leq 0.001$) (Fig. 5).

Treatment with the subactive dose of NAN-190 (0.5 mg/kg) in combination with subactive doses of lamotrigine (2 and 4 mg/kg) did not modify immobility time in the FST, despite a significant $p$ value ($F_{5,54} = 2.71, p \leq 0.029$) (Table 1). Treatment with a subactive dose of RU 24969 (0.5 mg/kg) in combination with the lower subactive dose of lamotrigine (2 mg/kg) did not modify immobility time in the FST ($F_{5,54} = 6.36, p \leq 0.001$) (Fig. 6). However, with lamotrigine at 4 mg/kg, a 22% reduction in immobility time was observed ($p \leq 0.001$).

Discussion

For patients with bipolar disorder, the antiepileptic drug lamotrigine has been shown to be more effective in treating depression than in treating hypomania or mania.27 Recently, a number of studies have reported that this drug is effective in the treatment of major depressive disorders, including refractory unipolar depression,$^{35-37}$ which suggests promise for the use of lamotrigine as an augmentation drug. Pilot studies have suggested that lamotrigine augmentation of SSRIs such as fluoxetine$^9$ and paroxetine$^{10}$ is well tolerated and provides efficacy superior to that of SSRI monotherapy. Lamotrigine may also have antidepressant properties in patients with unipolar depression and may accelerate the onset of action when given in combination with classical antidepressants.$^{10}$

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the antidepressant-like effect of lamotrigine in the FST. Despite many years of research, no valid and satisfactory animal model of bipolar depression has been developed to evaluate the mechanism of action of mood stabilizers. Animal models mimic only limited aspects of the behaviours seen in this disorder, either mania or depression. Here, we have evaluated the anti-immobility effect of lamotrigine in mice using a simple but robust model of depression, the FST.$^{20-22}$ Furthermore, the FST enables investigation of mechanisms of action of drugs through the use of association studies with receptor-specific ligands. The present work focuses mainly on 5-HT$_1$ subtype receptors.

Table 1: Effect of NAN-190 (0.5 mg/kg) on duration of immobility induced by lamotrigine in the mouse forced swimming test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lamotrigine dose, mg/kg</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean duration of immobility (SEM), s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>215.8 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>NAN-190</td>
<td>233.7 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>223.2 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NAN-190</td>
<td>218.0 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>218.2 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>NAN-190</td>
<td>225.1 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SEM = standard error of the mean.

$n = 10$ per group.

Fig. 3: Effect of lamotrigine administered 45 minutes before the test on immobility time in the FST. The results, in terms of percentage relative to the control group, are expressed as means and SEM ($n = 10$ in each group). The asterisks indicate significant differences from the control group, determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by a Dunnett’s test: $^*p \leq 0.01$, $^{***}p \leq 0.001$. 

Fig. 2: Effect of lamotrigine administered 30 minutes before the test on immobility time in the forced swimming test (FST). The results, in terms of percentage relative to the control group, are expressed as means and SEM ($n = 10$ in each group). The asterisks indicate significant differences from the control group, determined by 1-way ANOVA followed by a Dunnett’s test: $^*p \leq 0.01$, $^{***}p \leq 0.001$. 

Table 1: Effect of NAN-190 (0.5 mg/kg) on duration of immobility induced by lamotrigine in the mouse forced swimming test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose, mg/kg</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean duration of immobility (SEM), s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>99.2 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>100.2 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>100.3 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>100.3 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: SEM = standard error of the mean.

$n = 10$ per group.
In this study, lamotrigine impaired spontaneous locomotor activity, with some effect at a dose of 4 mg/kg and a decrease in activity of more than 50% at 16 mg/kg. In addition, lamotrigine at doses of 8 and 16 mg/kg, administered 30 minutes before the FST, decreased immobility time, thus demonstrating antidepressant-like effects despite the drug’s sedative effects at these doses. In a previous study using the FST in mice, lamotrigine, at doses of 15 and 25 mg/kg, was found to be inactive relative to saline. This discrepancy might be due to differences in methodology. Indeed, in the previous study, lamotrigine was administered 60 minutes before testing instead of 30 minutes as in our study. Furthermore, the size of the glass cylinder was larger (16 cm v. 10 cm). Standardization of the FST is required to avoid difficulties in replicating experiments between laboratories and to ensure reproducibility of results.

Lamotrigine administered alone seems to be more effective than lithium, valproate or carbamazepine in decreasing immobility time, with an effect of about 17% at the upper dose of 16 mg/kg. Lithium and sodium valproate have been previously evaluated with the FST and were found to be without effect, whereas carbamazepine was weakly effective at a dose of 32 mg/kg.

Recently, large double-blind, placebo-controlled trials comparing lamotrigine with lithium in the maintenance treatment of patients with bipolar I disorders have been performed. Both drugs were effective as maintenance treatment, and they had potentially complementary mood-stabilizing properties. These data are consistent with the results of the present experimental study and suggest that lamotrigine is more effective in treating depression, whereas lithium is more effective in treating mania. According to the newly available nomenclature for bipolar disorder, which distinguishes “above baseline,” for mania and hypomania and mixed states, from “below baseline,” for depression and subsyndromal depression, lithium would be the prototype of class A drugs in treatment of “above baseline” moods and lamotrigine might belong to class B drugs in treatment of “below baseline” moods. Valproate and carbamazepine also belong to class A.

Alteration of serotonin transmission is implicated in many mood disorders including bipolar disorders. Lopez-Figueroa et al have recently shown alteration in 5-HT$_{1A}$, 5-HT$_{1B}$ and 5-HT$_{2A}$ mRNA levels using post mortem in situ hybridization in the brains of subjects with a history of major depressive disorder or bipolar disorder.

In the present study, lamotrigine was coadministered with
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**Fig. 4:** Effect of lamotrigine (Lamo) administered 45 minutes before the test combined with 8-OH-DPAT administered 30 minutes before the test on immobility time in the FST. The results, in terms of percentage relative to the control group, are expressed as mean and SEM (n = 10 in each group). The asterisks indicate significant differences from the appropriate lamotrigine control group, determined by 2-way ANOVA followed by a Sidak test: *** p ≤ 0.001.
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**Fig. 5:** Effect of lamotrigine (Lamo) administered 45 minutes before the test combined with pindolol (Pindo) administered 30 minutes before the test on immobility time in the FST. The results, in terms of percentage relative to the control group, are expressed as mean and SEM (n = 10 in each group). The asterisks indicate significant differences from the appropriate lamotrigine control group, determined by 2-way ANOVA followed by a Sidak test, *** p ≤ 0.001.

### Table 2: Effect of anpirtoline (1 mg/kg) on duration of immobility induced by lamotrigine in the mouse forced swimming test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lamotrigine dose, mg/kg</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Mean duration of immobility (SEM),* s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>233.5 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Anpirtoline</td>
<td>231.6 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>226.2 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Anpirtoline</td>
<td>218.8 (3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>216.6 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Anpirtoline</td>
<td>219.0 (4.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** SEM = standard error of the mean.

* n = 10 per group.
specific 5-HT₁₆ and 5-HT₁₈ receptor agonists and antagonists to investigate the role of these receptors in vivo in the mechanism of action of lamotrigine in the FST, as reported previously in our laboratory for the other mood stabilizers (lithium, carbamazepine and sodium valproate). Only 8-OH-DPAT (a postsynaptic 5-HT₁₆ receptor agonist), pindolol (a presynaptic and postsynaptic 5-HT₁₅/₁₆ receptor antagonist) and to a lesser extent RU 24969 (a 5-HT₁₅/₁₆ receptor agonist that acts essentially via postsynaptic receptors) were able to enhance the antidepressant-like effect of lamotrigine in the FST.

5-HT₁₅ subtype receptors are believed to exist at the presynaptic level as autoreceptors controlling 5-HT release and as heteroreceptors controlling the release of other neurotransmitters and at the postsynaptic level (coupled to adenylate cyclase).

8-OH-DPAT, like other high-affinity agonists for 5-HT₁₅ receptors, has been shown to decrease immobility time in the FST on its own. Treatments with PCPA (p-chlorophenylalanine, inhibitor of 5-HT synthesis) causing serotonergic depletion or with the neurotoxin 5,7-DHT (5,7-di-hydroxytryptamine) do not affect the immobility response to 8-OH-DPAT in the mouse FST, which suggests that this effect is mediated by postsynaptic 5-HT₁₅ receptors. In the present study, the observed additive effect of a subactive dose of 8-OH-DPAT in combination with lamotrigine may suggest that postsynaptic 5-HT₁₅ receptors play a role in the ability of this drug to reduce immobility in the FST.

Antagonist activity at presynaptic 5-HT₁₅ receptors, which blocks the negative feedback mechanism involved in 5-HT neurotransmission via autoreceptors, has been implicated in the potentiating effects of pindolol in the FST. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that pindolol acts at 5-HT₁₅ presynaptic receptors in the FST with antidepressant-like activity. In this study, the combination of subactive doses of lamotrigine and pindolol also decreased immobility time in the FST, which supports presynaptic activity, but the effect was less pronounced than with 8-OH-DPAT.

RU 24969 is believed to act via postsynaptic 5-HT₁₅ and 5-HT₁₆ receptor activation. Only the higher subactive dose of lamotrigine was potentiated by RU 24969. Given that aniptol was without effect on lamotrigine-induced immobility time, whereas 8-OH-DPAT potentiated the effect, it seems more likely that a 5-HT₁₅ agonist activity might be involved in the potentiation induced by RU 24969.

Taking these data together, and considering the effect size of the decrease in immobility time of lamotrigine in association with the various ligands (i.e., 8-OH-DPAT > pindolol > RU 24969), we can speculate that postsynaptic 5-HT₁₅ receptors might be involved in the activity of lamotrigine. Other neurotransmitters regulated by postsynaptic 5-HT₁₅ heteroreceptors might also participate in the antidepressant-like effect of lamotrigine.

Although there is a large clinical literature reporting the use of lamotrigine in bipolar disorders or treatment-resistant depression, few studies have addressed the possible neurochemical basis of its therapeutic effect and these have yielded conflicting results. Some researchers have found that lamotrigine has little or no effect on 5-HT transmission, whereas Ahmad et al. recently found that single administration of lamotrigine (10 and 20 mg/kg) decreased extracellular 5-HT in the hippocampus of freely moving rats (determined by in vivo microdialysis). In contrast, carbamazepine and lamotrigine were the only anticonvulsant drugs found to inhibit 5-HT uptake both in vitro and in vivo, which would result in elevated extracellular 5-HT concentrations. However, the relevance of these findings to bipolar depression is unclear. A recent study in rats demonstrated significantly less inhibition of forskolin-stimulated adenylcyclase activity by [³H]8-OH-DPAT in cortical membranes but not in the hippocampus of rats treated with lamotrigine, relative to control animals. This suggests that one mechanism of action of lamotrigine might be the downregulation of responses mediated by cortical 5-HT₁₅ receptors.

The role of 5-HT₁₅ receptors in the mechanism of action of lamotrigine in bipolar disorders has already been examined in healthy human male volunteers using the selective 5-HT₁₅ agonist ipsapirone. Treatment with lamotrigine for 1 week did not significantly modify the hypothermic responses or cortisol responses to ipsapirone. However, that study was limited by the small sample size and the lack of a placebo control, so further investigations are required in humans. Furthermore, it is difficult to conclude anything about the localization of the pharmacologic activity from such studies, as there is considerable heterogeneity between species and technical protocols. For example, the hypothermic response involves only postsynaptic receptors in rats and both presynaptic and postsynaptic receptors in mice.

In a previous study, we examined the antidepressant-like...
activity of lithium, sodium valproate and carbamazepine in the FST using inactive or subactive doses of these drugs in association with the 5-HT\textsubscript{1A,1B} ligands. The results suggested that 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptors may play a role in the potentiating effect of lithium in the mouse FST. Lithium demonstrated anti-immobility effects in association with RU 24969 and with ampiptoline.\textsuperscript{16} Conversely, pretreatment with carbamazepine increased mobility when administered in combination with 8-OH-DPAT and RU 24969, which suggests a role for 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptors. Sodium valproate in association with 8-OH-DPAT, pindolol and RU 24969 induced antidepressant-like activity in comparison to saline control animals in the FST.

In the present study, strong potentiation of lamotrigine activity with 8-OH-DPAT suggested a 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} or 5-HT\textsubscript{1B} receptor mechanism, but the role of the 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptor was less clear, as an amiptoline, a more specific 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptor agonist, failed to show increased activity of lithium in the mouse FST. Lithium demonstrated anti-immobility effects in association with RU 24969 and with ampiptoline.\textsuperscript{16} Conversely, pretreatment with carbamazepine increased mobility when administered in combination with 8-OH-DPAT and RU 24969, which suggests a role for 5-HT\textsubscript{1A} receptors. Sodium valproate in association with 8-OH-DPAT, pindolol and RU 24969 induced antidepressant-like activity in comparison to saline control animals in the FST.

The results presented here demonstrate that lamotrigine activity is more closely related to valproate and carbamazepine than to lithium, with the advantage of an anti-immobility effect in the mouse FST when administered on its own. These results are consistent with the finding that lamotrigine is more effective in treating bipolar disorders in patients in whom depressive symptoms predominated over mania or hypomania.\textsuperscript{55}
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