Effects of mood stabilizers on DNA damage in an animal model of mania
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Objective: Recent studies have suggested that oxidative stress and DNA damage may play a role in the pathophysiology of bipolar disorder (BD). We investigated the effects of the mood stabilizers lithium and valproate on amphetamine-induced DNA damage in an animal model of mania and their correlation with oxidative stress markers. Methods: In the first experiment (reversal model), we treated adult male Wistar rats with D-amphetamine (AMPH) or saline for 14 days; between the 8th and 14th days, rats also received lithium, valproate or saline. In the second experiment (prevention model), rats received either lithium, valproate or saline for 14 days; between the 8th and 14th days, we added AMPH or saline. We evaluated DNA damage using single-cell gel electrophoresis (comet assay), and we assessed the mutagenic potential using the micronucleus test. We assessed oxidative stress levels by lipid peroxidation levels (TBARS) and antioxidant enzyme activities (superoxide dismutase and catalase). We assessed DNA damage and oxidative stress markers in blood/plasma and hippocampal samples. We evaluated mutagenesis in fresh lymphocytes. Results: In both models, we found that AMPH increased peripheral and hippocampal DNA damage. The index of DNA damage correlated positively with lipid peroxidation, whereas lithium and valproate were able to modulate the oxidative balance and prevent recent damage to the DNA. However, lithium and valproate were not able to prevent micronucleus formation. Conclusion: Our results support the notion that lithium and valproate exert central and peripheral antioxidant-like properties. In addition, the protection to the integrity of DNA conferred by lithium seems to be limited to transient DNA damage and does not alter micronuclei formation.
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Nous avons évalué les dommages causés à l’ADN et les marqueurs du stress oxydatif dans des échantillons de sang/plasma et d’hippocampe. Nous avons évalué la mutagenèse dans des lymphocytes frais. **Résultats :** Dans les 2 modèles, nous avons constaté que les amphétamines augmentaient les dommages périphériques causés à l’ADN et à l’hippocampe. Il y avait un lien positif entre l’indice des dommages causés à l’ADN et la peroxydation des lipides, tandis que le lithium et le valproate ont pu moduler l’équilibre oxydatif et prévenir des dommages récents à l’ADN. Le lithium et le valproate n’ont toutefois pu prévenir la formation de micronoyaux. **Conclusion :** Nos résultats appuient le concept selon lequel le lithium et le valproate ont des propriétés semblables aux antioxydants centraux et périphériques. En outre, la protection de l’intégrité de l’ADN conférée par le lithium semble limitée au dommage transitoire causé à l’ADN et n’altère pas la formation des micronoyaux.

**Introduction**

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a prevalent, chronic and highly disabling psychiatric disorder. It is considered to be one of the leading causes of disability among all medical and psychiatric conditions, and untreated BD has been associated with increased morbidity and mortality due to general medical conditions such as vascular disorders and cancer. In addition, evidence from postmortem studies suggests that the pathophysiology of BD may involve apoptotic cell death and neuronal and glial cell loss, which may in part explain the high rates of morbidity and the persistent interepisode cognitive impairment in patients with BD. It has been suggested that increased oxidative stress may be a common mechanism underlying the neurobiologic basis of these conditions. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are known to play an important role in the pathogenesis of several general medical diseases and in neurodegenerative and psychiatric disorders, including Alzheimer disease, schizophrenia and BD.

The central nervous system is extremely vulnerable to peroxidative damage. The human brain has a high concentration of oxidizable substrates and high oxygen tension because it metabolizes 20% of total body oxygen. In addition, the human brain contains a large amount of polysaturated fatty acids, which are very susceptible to lipid peroxidation. Thus under pathologic conditions, levels of ROS can easily exceed antioxidant capacity, which is relatively low in the central nervous system. Such excess of ROS may cause direct damage to cellular proteins, DNA and lipids, thereby affecting cellular function. The amount of DNA damage and the integrity of the repair system would determine whether the damage to DNA strands would be transient (DNA single- and double-strand breaks) or permanent (micronucleus formation). The single-cell gel-electrophoresis technique (comet assay) is used to detect transient DNA single- and double-strand breaks, whereas the DNA damage that persists after the action of different repair systems can be assessed using the micronucleus test. The amount of DNA damage and the integrity of the repair system would determine whether the damage to DNA strands would be transient (DNA single- and double-strand breaks) or permanent (micronucleus formation). The single-cell gel-electrophoresis technique (comet assay) is used to detect transient DNA single- and double-strand breaks, whereas the DNA damage that persists after the action of different repair systems can be assessed using the micronucleus test.

The understanding of the transient and permanent consequences of oxidative stress on DNA integrity and the protective potential of mood stabilizers has turned into central issues in the study of BD. We have recently shown that patients with BD have increased oxidative stress and DNA damage in peripheral blood. Moreover, it seems that increased oxidative stress occurs in proportion with DNA damage in such patients. A recent study showed that telomere shortening, which is thought to occur as a consequence of increased oxidative stress, is increased among patients with BD. Because the main source of free radicals is the respiratory chain in the mitochondria, attention should be drawn to the fact that abnormalities in respiratory complex activity and mitochondrial dysfunction were also demonstrated in BD. Using an animal model of mania, we have shown that chronic treatment with d-amphetamine (AMPH) increases superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase activity as well as lipid peroxidation and protein carbonyl formation. Chronic AMPH exposure induced a 3- to 6-fold increase of thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) and a 1.5- to 2-fold increase in superoxide production in submitochondrial particles of the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. Further, we showed that lithium and valproate exert protective effects against AMPH-induced oxidative stress. However, the deleterious effects of oxidative stress on DNA integrity and the protective potential of mood stabilizers have not been examined.

We sought to investigate the effects of lithium and valproate on transient and permanent DNA damage (as assessed with comet and micronucleus assays, respectively) in the peripheral blood and the hippocampus using an animal model of mania (repeated exposure to AMPH). Moreover, we evaluated parameters of oxidative stress, such as lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzyme activities, to test the correlation between DNA damage and oxidative stress markers.

**Methods**

**Chemicals**

The chemicals we used included AMPH (Sigma-Aldrich), low and normal melting point agarose (Gibco), ethylene-diamine-tetracetic acid (EDTA; Labsynth), tris (Labsynth), triton X-100 (Labsynth), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Labsynth), ethidium bromide (Sigma-Aldrich), RPMI 1640 medium (Nutricell), cytochalasin-B, valproic acid sodium salt and lithium carbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) and Giemsa (Merck).

**Animals**

We performed our experiments in male Wistar rats (age 3–4 mo, weight 220–310 g) obtained from our breeding colony. We housed the rats 5 to a cage, on a 12-hour light/dark cycle (lights on between 7 am and 7 pm), with food and water available ad libitum. We carried out all experimental procedures in accordance with the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Guide for the care and use of laboratory
animals\(^{23}\) and the Brazilian Society for Neuroscience and Behaviour (SBNNeC) recommendations for animal care. The local ethics committee (Universidade do Extremo Sul Catarinense, Criciúma, SC, Brazil) approved our research project.

**Reversal model**

We designed our first model to reproduce the management of an acute manic episode. Animals \((n = 48)\) received a daily intraperitoneal injection of either AMPH (2 mg/kg) or saline for 14 days. Between the 8th and the 14th day, we divided the animals that received saline or AMPH into 6 experimental groups of 6–8 animals per group: saline+saline (SAL), saline+lithium (Li), saline+valproate (VPA), AMPH+saline, AMPH+lithium (AMPH+Li) and AMPH+valproate (AMPH+VPA). Animals in the Li group received intraperitoneal injections of lithium (47.5 mg/kg) twice a day; those in the VPA group received valproate (200 mg/kg) twice a day. We measured locomotor activity 2 hours after the last injection of AMPH or saline, submitted the rats to intracardiac puncture immediately after the open field task and sacrificed them by decapitation after the intracardiac puncture. We rapidly dissected the hippocampus and immediately used a slice for the analyses of DNA damage. We stored the remaining hippocampal tissue at −80°C until it was assayed for oxidative stress markers.

**Prevention model**

We designed our second model to mimic the maintenance phase of the treatment of BD. Animals \((n = 48)\) received intraperitoneal injections of either lithium (47.5 mg/kg) twice a day, valproate (200 mg/kg) twice a day or saline for 14 days. Between the 8th and the 14th day, we divided the animals that received lithium, valproate or saline into 6 experimental groups of 6–8 animals per group: SAL, Li, VPA, AMPH, Li+AMPH and VPA+AMPH. The AMPH group received 1 daily intraperitoneal injection of either AMPH (2 mg/kg) or saline. We measured locomotor activity 2 hours after the last injection, submitted the rats to an intracardiac puncture and sacrificed them by decapitation after the open field task. We rapidly dissected the hippocampus, and we immediately used a slice to analyze DNA damage. We stored the remaining hippocampal tissue at −80°C until it was assayed for oxidative stress markers.

**Locomotor activity**

We assessed locomotor activity in the open-field task, which we performed in a 40 × 60–cm open field surrounded by walls 50 cm high made of brown plywood with a frontal glass wall. We divided the floor of the open field into 12 equal rectangles with black lines. We placed the animals on the left rear quadrant to freely explore the arena for 5 minutes. We considered the number of crossings of the black lines to be a measure of horizontal locomotor activity and we considered rearings to be a measure of vertical locomotor activity.

**Sample preparation**

We extracted blood by intracardiac puncture with heparin. We used total blood for the micronucleus test and comet assay. For enzyme assays, we used plasma that we obtained by centrifugation at 1800 g for 10 minutes. We homogenized the hippocampus in cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for the comet assay and antioxidant enzymes assay, which we carried out immediately.

**Single-cell gel electrophoresis (comet assay)**

We adopted a standard protocol for the comet assay preparation and analysis.\(^{26}\) We performed the comet assay under alkaline conditions (pH 12.6), which detect double- and single-strand breaks and alkali-labile sites.\(^{26,27}\) We prepared the slides by mixing 5 µL of whole blood or 20 µL of hippocampus homogenate (homogenized in 20 vol [wt/vol] of STM buffer [sucrose 250 mmol/L, Tris-HCl 50 mmol/L, AMPH+Li] and AMPH+valproate (AMPH+VPA). Animals in the Li group received intraperitoneal injections of lithium (47.5 mg/kg) twice a day; those in the VPA group received valproate (200 mg/kg) twice a day. We measured locomotor activity 2 hours after the last injection of AMPH or saline, submitted the rats to intracardiac puncture immediately after the open field task and sacrificed them by decapitation after the intracardiac puncture. We rapidly dissected the hippocampus and immediately used a slice for the analyses of DNA damage. We stored the remaining hippocampal tissue at −80°C until it was assayed for oxidative stress markers.

**Cytokinesis-blocked lymphocyte micronucleus test**

For each blood sample, we set up duplicate blood cultures in culture flasks by adding 0.3 mL of whole blood to 5 mL of RPMI 1640 medium containing 20% fetal calf serum and 1% (v/v) phytohemagglutinin. We incubated the flasks at 37°C for 44 hours before adding 5 µg/mL of cytochalasin-B,\(^{28}\) and we continued incubation until the total incubation time reached 72 hours. After incubation, we harvested the lymphocytes by centrifugation at 800 revolutions per minute for 8 minutes. The lymphocytes were then recentrifuged, fixed in 3:1 (v/v)
methanol/acetic acid, placed onto a clean microscope slide and stained with 5% (v/v) Giemsa. For each blood sample, we scored 1000 binucleated lymphocytes (i.e., 500 from each of the 2 slides prepared from the duplicate lymphocyte cultures) for micronuclei presence, using bright-field optical microscopy (original magnification ×200–1000). Blinded to the treatment groups, we coded all slides.

Lipid peroxidation

We measured the plasma levels of lipid peroxidation using the TBARS method described by Wills. Data are expressed in nanomoles per millilitre.

Superoxide dismutase activity

We determined superoxide dismutase activity spectrophotometrically in peripheral plasma and hippocampal homogenates by measuring the inhibition of the ratio of autocatalytic adrenochrome formation at 480 nm in a reaction medium containing 1 mM adrenaline and 50 mM glycine (pH 10.2). We conducted this reaction at a constant temperature of 30°C for 3 minutes. Enzyme activity is expressed as superoxide dismutase units per gram of protein. We define 1 unit as the amount of enzyme that inhibits the ratio of adrenochrome formation to 50%.

Catalase activity

We assayed catalase activity according to the method of Aebi. Briefly, first we added 2 mL quartz cuvette contained in 1.980 mL phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 50 mM) to 20 mL of peripheral plasma or hippocampal homogenates, and we adjusted the spectrophotometer to auto zero. We then added 2 mL of H₂O₂ (3 mM freshly diluted). Values are expressed as micromoles of H₂O₂ consumed per minute per milligram of protein.

Statistical analysis

We determined the differences in oxidative stress parameters, index of DNA damage and micronuclei frequency among experimental groups using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). When ANOVA results were significant, we performed the Tukey post-hoc test. We used a Spearman coefficient to calculate the correlation between lipid peroxidation and DNA damage. In all experiments, we considered p < 0.05 to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Locomotor activity

In the first experiment (reversal treatment), we replicated our previous findings to confirm that DNA damage was measured in a proper model of mania. We found that AMPH increased crossings (F₅,₃₉ = 12.89, p < 0.001) and rearings (F₅,₃₉ = 22.91, p < 0.001) in rats treated with saline; lithium and valproate reverted AMPH-related hyperactive behaviour (Fig. 1A). The administration of lithium or valproate in

![Fig. 1: Effects of d-amphetamine (AMPH), lithium (Li) and valproate (VPA) on crossing (horizontal) and rearing (vertical) behaviour in the (A) reversal and (B) prevention models. Values are expressed as means and standard deviation. *Statistically different from control group (SAL), as determined by one-way analysis of variance and Tukey test (p < 0.05).](image-url)
saline-treated animals did not change behavioural measures, indicating that the effects of mood stabilizers in rats treated with AMPH were not associated with sedation. Behavioural measures of the second experiment (prevention treatment) demonstrated that both lithium and valproate pretreatment were also able to prevent AMPH-related hyperactivity (crossings $F_{5,40} = 10.14, p < 0.001$ and rearings $F_{5,40} = 31.56, p < 0.001$). Saline administration in rats pretreated with mood stabilizers did not affect locomotor behaviour (Fig. 1B).

**Transient and permanent DNA damage**

The results of transient DNA damage (comet assay) are shown as an index of DNA damage (Table 1), while those of permanent DNA damage are shown by frequency of micronuclei (Fig. 2). Treatment with AMPH increased transient DNA damage in central (hippocampus) samples in both the prevention ($F_{5,40} = 10.14, p < 0.001$) and the reversal ($F_{5,39} = 13.57, p < 0.001$) models (Table 1). We observed the same results in blood samples ($F_{5,40} = 21.25, p < 0.001$ in the prevention model; $F_{5,39} = 19.01, p < 0.001$ in the reversal model). Results of the Tukey test showed that treatment with lithium was able to reverse and prevent transient DNA damage in the peripheral blood ($p = 0.233$ in the reversal model; $p = 0.235$ in the prevention model) and hippocampal samples ($p = 0.345$ in the reversal model; $p = 0.641$ in the prevention model). Valproate did not have any effect. In prevention ($F_{5,40} = 10.01, p < 0.001$) and reversal models ($F_{5,39} = 9.55, p < 0.001$), animals treated with AMPH showed an enhanced micronucleus frequency. In the prevention model, administration of lithium and valproate diminished the frequency of micronuclei; however, the decrease was not statistically significant (Fig. 2A). In the reversal model, neither lithium nor valproate were able to reverse such damage (Fig. 2B).

**Oxidative stress**

Table 2 and Table 3 show the results of oxidative stress markers in the reversal and the prevention models, respectively. We found that AMPH increased lipid peroxidation in the plasma ($F_{5,40} = 18.91, p < 0.001$ in the prevention model; $F_{5,39} = 11.99, p < 0.001$ in the reversal model) and in the hippocampus ($F_{5,40} = 11.11, p < 0.001$ in the prevention model; $F_{5,39} = 9.81, p < 0.001$ in the reversal model), as indicated by increased levels of TBARS in both models. In addition, lithium reversed and prevented hippocampal and peripheral AMPH-induced lipid peroxidation, whereas valproate prevented hippocampal lipid peroxidation, and reverted and prevented peripheral AMPH-induced lipid peroxidation. We found that AMPH lead to a 3-fold increase of SOD activity in the hippocampus ($F_{5,40} = 10.98, p < 0.001$ in the prevention model; $F_{5,39} = 18.45, p < 0.001$ in the reversal model) and a 5-fold increase of SOD activity in the plasma ($F_{5,40} = 18.99, p < 0.001$ in the prevention model; $F_{5,39} = 21.45, p < 0.001$ in the reversal model) in both models. In the reversal model, lithium decreased SOD activity after AMPH exposure in plasma and hippocampal samples, whereas in the prevention model, lithium and valproate prevented the increase of SOD activity in both samples. Treatment with AMPH alone increased catalase activity in the hippocampus ($F_{5,40} = 12.48, p < 0.001$ in the prevention model; $F_{5,39} = 19.49, p < 0.001$ in the reversal model) and plasma ($F_{5,40} = 15.61, p < 0.001$ in the prevention model; $F_{5,39} = 11.95, p < 0.001$ in the reversal model). Valproate alone increased catalase and SOD activity in the hippocampus in the reversal model. Lithium and valproate, when administered before AMPH, increased catalase activity in the hippocampus; however, only valproate had the same effect in the plasma samples. Lithium and valproate were able to reverse AMPH-induced increase in catalase activity.

**Table 1: Effects of d-amphetamine and mood stabilizers (lithium and valproate) on transient DNA damage in reversal and prevention models**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of analysis</th>
<th>Reversal model</th>
<th>Prevention model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32.5‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33.1‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.2‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>21.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VPA + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>36.8‡</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMPH = d-amphetamine; ANOVA = analysis of variance; Li = lithium; SAL = saline; SD = standard deviation; VPA = valproate.

*The comet assay was evaluated in lymphoblastic cells and was carried out under alkaline conditions, which permits the assessment of single- and double-strand breaks and alkali-labile sites.
†We calculated the index of DNA damage by multiplying the number of cells by each respective index score and then summed the results. Therefore, a group damage index could range from 0 (all cells, no tail; 100 cells × 0) to 400 (all cells, longest tail; 100 cells × 4).
‡Results of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test comparing the various treatment groups with the control group (SAL).
Correlation between DNA damage and oxidative stress markers

We found a positive correlation between TBARS and transient DNA damage in plasma ($r_s = 0.62$, no. of pairs = 29, $p = 0.003$) and in the hippocampus ($r_s = 0.4$, no. of pairs = 29, $p = 0.005$) in the AMPH group, which indicates that AMPH-induced oxidative stress is associated with increased DNA damage. In addition, we found that the frequency of type-IV DNA damage (highest degree of damage) was positively correlated with the frequency of micronuclei in rats treated with AMPH alone ($r_s = 0.45$, no. of pairs = 16, $p = 0.034$). We observed no significant correlation between TBARS levels and micronucleus frequency.

Discussion

Our results suggest that our earlier finding of increased oxidative stress in an animal model of mania, which we replicated in the present study, is associated with transient and permanent DNA damage. We also found that, along with the increased locomotor activity induced by AMPH, lithium and
valproate were able to prevent and/or reverse DNA damage, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant enzyme changes. These results are consistent with recent reports indicating that the neuroprotective effects of lithium and valproate may be related to their antioxidant properties.18,29,31 However, neither lithium nor valproate were able to alter permanent DNA damage (as indicated by the micronuclei frequency), which suggests that these mood stabilizers may protect against transient, but not permanent, DNA damage. It is conceivable that the transient DNA damage reported herein may be a consequence of increased oxidative stress, because we found a positive correlation between the lipid peroxidation markers and the index of transient DNA damage.

Our findings are in line with recent evidence showing that mood stabilizers may regulate numerous pathways involved in the oxidative stress response.17,25 In our experiment, lithium and valproate were able to modulate the antioxidant enzymes, and lithium in particular prevented oxidative DNA damage. De Vasconcelos and colleagues13 showed that lithium decreased free-radical formation and increased the total antioxidant capacity in the hippocampus. Moreover, in a primary culture of cerebral cells from rats, treatment with lithium and valproate prevented glutamate-induced oxidative stress and DNA fragmentation11 and increased glutathione-S-transferase mRNA and protein levels.31 Compared with our previous findings, we found higher activity of catalase and SOD, possibly because in the present study we changed the method to allow the comet assay and micronucleus technique to be performed in the same sample as the oxidative stress markers.

The effects of chronic lithium and valproate treatments seem to take place through multiple pathways, including the induction of the survival signaling systems (e.g., activation of PI3K/Akt and MEK/ERK cascades). These signalling systems upregulate brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) expression,16,25 increase levels of neuroprotective and anti-

Table 2: Antioxidant enzyme activities and level of lipid peroxidation in the reversal model*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of analysis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>SOD, U/mg protein</th>
<th>Catalase, U/mg protein</th>
<th>TBARS, nmoL/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) p value†</td>
<td>Mean (SD) p value†</td>
<td>Mean (SD) p value†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35.45 (5.73)</td>
<td>12.66 (1.09)</td>
<td>2.19 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>66.59† (21.09)</td>
<td>45.02† (10.63) &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>3.61 (1.00) 0.879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>40.61 (0.51)</td>
<td>13.32 (1.40) 0.788</td>
<td>4.71 (1.85) 0.456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>95.83† (0.96)</td>
<td>28.66† (4.00) &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>9.29† (0.91) &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>41.68 (0.51)</td>
<td>8.04 (2.40) 0.231</td>
<td>4.41 (1.85) 0.233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>93.56† (35.51)</td>
<td>9.34 (0.76) 0.566</td>
<td>7.03† (0.56) &lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood samples</td>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.12 (1.18)</td>
<td>15.82 (1.63)</td>
<td>1.87 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.33 (1.00)</td>
<td>113.67† (14.04) &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>2.05 (0.98) 0.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9.56 (1.51)</td>
<td>85.62† (1.51) &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>3.51 (0.51) 0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.34† (1.34)</td>
<td>32.38† (1.34) 0.001</td>
<td>9.99† (1.12) 0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.66 (1.40)</td>
<td>24.72 (1.40) 0.621</td>
<td>1.96 (1.85) 0.725</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15.55† (1.00)</td>
<td>44.09 (1.82) 0.566</td>
<td>2.28 (0.34) 0.233</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMPH = d-amphetamine; ANOVA = analysis of variance; Li = lithium; SAL = saline; SD = standard deviation; SOD = superoxide dismutase; TBARS = thiobarbituric acid reaction substances; VPA = valproate.
†Results of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test comparing the various treatment groups with the control group (SAL).

Table 3: Antioxidant enzyme activities and level of lipid peroxidation in the prevention model*$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site of analysis</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>SOD, U/mg protein</th>
<th>Catalase, U/mg protein</th>
<th>TBARS, nmoL/mL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD) p value†</td>
<td>Mean (SD) p value†</td>
<td>Mean (SD) p value†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15.76 (5.73)</td>
<td>6.78 (2.00)</td>
<td>1.64 (2.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.3 (1.14)</td>
<td>6.88 (1.14) 0.899</td>
<td>5.29 (1.14) 0.322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.72 (1.41)</td>
<td>6.09 (1.14) 0.745</td>
<td>4.68 (1.14) 0.415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>59.97† (2.11)</td>
<td>19.37† (2.11) &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>9.06† (2.11) 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.06 (1.23)</td>
<td>15.91† (1.23) 0.002</td>
<td>5.48 (1.23) 0.678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.11 (1.22)</td>
<td>17.52† (1.22) 0.001</td>
<td>3.20 (1.22) 0.450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood samples</td>
<td>SAL</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.33 (1.40)</td>
<td>15.90 (5.00)</td>
<td>2.32 (2.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.88 (2.30)</td>
<td>35.71 (9.44) 0.121</td>
<td>3.32 (2.00) 0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.22 (1.90)</td>
<td>33.75 (9.90) 0.089</td>
<td>2.93 (2.33) 0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>34.99† (2.11)</td>
<td>93.25† (6.70) &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>12.76† (1.98) 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Li + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13.44 (2.98)</td>
<td>37.03 (7.44) 0.101</td>
<td>3.26 (1.55) 0.899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VPA + AMPH</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11.00 (2.22)</td>
<td>56.25† (7.99) 0.002</td>
<td>3.50 (2.11) 0.786</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AMPH = d-amphetamine; ANOVA = analysis of variance; Li = lithium; SAL = saline; SD = standard deviation; SOD = superoxide dismutase; TBARS = thiobarbituric acid reaction substances; VPA = valproate.
†Results of one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey test comparing the various treatment groups with the control group (SAL).
apoptotic protein bcl-2 in the frontal cortex, hippocampus and striatum of rats; inhibit glycolgen synthase kinase-3β activity by phosphorylation in serine-9, an apoptotic promoter involved in neurodegenerative and affective diseases; and prevent lipid and protein peroxidation. Moreover, valproate increases the expression of heat shock protein 70 (Hsp70) and the binding of Hsp70 to apoptosis-proteases-activating factor 1, which prevents this factor from activating procaspase 9.

Our results showed that valproate did not prevent transient DNA damage, which is in line with previous reports. For instance, valproate has been reported to function as a histone deacetylase inhibitor, leading to the acetylation of histone tails. Histone acetylation results in attenuation of the electrostatic charge interactions between histones and DNA, and has been associated with chromatin decondensation. Valproate-induced chromatin decondensation may lead to enhanced sensitivity of DNA to nucleases and increased DNA binding by intercalating agents, thereby increasing the access of macromolecules to DNA. In addition, it has been suggested that H2O2 can increase histone acetylation and decrease deacetylation. Thus an increase in ROS concentration and valproate intake may increase the susceptibility of the cell to DNA damage. Our data corroborate the notion that treatment with lithium may be more protective against oxidative stress and DNA damage than treatment with valproate. However, it is conceivable that valproate and lithium share part of their protective mechanisms. For instance, both lithium and valproate decreased AMPH-induced ROS generation, an effect that may be partly due to a decrease in dopamine levels. AMPH increases dopamine release, which may suffer auto-oxidation, thereby leading to the formation of highly reactive quinones. It has been demonstrated that reactive quinones may cause a direct inhibition of mitochondrial electron transport chain complexes and increase glutamate release, which may alter the redox status.

Along with the effects of mood stabilizers, the neurochemical effects of AMPH itself should be considered. Repeated exposure to AMPH is known to be associated with neurotoxicity, and our results showed increased lipid peroxidation, DNA damage and SOD activity in rats treated with AMPH. Importantly, SOD accelerates the dismutation of O2− to H2O2 and thus diminishes ONOO− formation from O2− as well as direct damage caused by O2−. Therefore, it seems that the increment of SOD activity after treatment with AMPH that we observed was a response against AMPH-induced oxidative DNA damage.

**Limitations**

Some limitations should be considered in the interpretation of our results. The animal model of mania using AMPH is restricted to mimic one aspect of BD, which is a far more complex disorder. However, the unique hallmark of bipolar illness is the presence of mania. Manic symptoms can be reproduced in normal volunteers after the administration of AMPH, and a number of case reports have suggested that the euphoric effects induced by AMPH in humans can be attenuated by the administration of lithium. Similar to the changes associated with mania, the administration of AMPH increases the heart rate, blood pressure and cortisol levels. Indeed, the magnitude of ventral striatal dopamine release induced by AMPH correlated positively with the hedonic response in humans.

Another limitation of the present study is that we did not assess apoptosis and the efficiency of the repair system. However, the purpose of this study was to assess the correlation between oxidative stress and DNA damage, and further investigation of the fate of cells after DNA damage was beyond its scope.

**Conclusion**

The present study suggests that increased oxidative stress in an animal model of mania may be associated with both transient and permanent DNA damage. Further studies are needed to clarify whether this is a consequence of a dysfunctional repair system and whether this damage will ultimately result in neuronal apoptosis. More importantly, data from the treatment models indicate that lithium and, to a lesser extent, valproate exert antioxidant properties, which are likely to be associated with protective effects on DNA. However, the extent of the protection conferred by these drugs seems limited to transient DNA damage (comet assay). Once the cell has undergone micronuclei formation, (considered to be permanent damage) neither lithium nor valproate were able to prevent or reverse the damage. Further investigation is needed to ascertain whether these antioxidant/protective properties are correlated with the ability of these drugs to control the symptoms and negative outcomes in BD. We are tempted to speculate that new drugs targeted to lessen the oxidative stress burden in BD have the potential to move treatment beyond the control of symptoms, moving it closer to the preventive model already consolidated in other disorders.
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