Activation of the inflammatory immune system provokes numerous neuroendocrine and neurotransmitter changes, many of which are similar to those provoked by physical or psychological stressors. These findings, among others, have led to the suggestion that the brain translates immune activation much as if it were a stressor. In this review, I provide synopses of the effects of traditional stressors on the release of corticotropin-releasing hormones at hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic sites, variations of serotonin and its receptors and changes of brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). These effects are similar to those elicited by activation of the inflammatory immune system, particularly the impact of the immune-signalling molecules interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-α and interferon-α on neuroendocrine, neurotransmitter and BDNF function. In addition, it is reported that stressors and cytokines may synergistically influence biological and behavioural processes and that these treatments may have long-term ramifications through the sensitization of processes associated with stress responses. Finally, I present an overview of the depressogenic actions of these cytokines in rodent models and in humans, and I provide provisional suggestions (and caveats) about the mechanisms by which cytokines and stressors might culminate in major depressive disorder.

L’activation du système immunitaire et de la réponse inflammatoire provoque de nombreux phénomènes affectant le système neuroendocrinien et les neurotransmetteurs, dont bon nombre se comparent aux effets des stresseurs physiques ou psychologiques. Ces observations ont entre autres mené à l’hypothèse selon laquelle le cerveau interprète l’activation immunitaire comme un stressor. Dans la présente synthèse, je propose un résumé des effets des stresseurs traditionnels sur la sécrétion de corticolibérine aux sièges hypothalamiques et extrahypothalamiques, sur la fluctuation des taux de sérotonine et de ses récepteurs et sur les variations du facteur neurotrophique d’origine cérébrale (BDNF, brain-derived neurotrophic factor). Ces effets sont similaires à ceux qu’entraîne l’activation du système immunitaire et de la réponse inflammatoire, notamment l’impact des molécules signal immunitaires interleukine-1β, interleukine-6, facteur de nécrose tumorale alpha et interféron alpha sur la fonction neuroendocrinienne, les neurotransmetteurs et le BDNF. On rapporte de plus que les stresseurs et les cytokines peuvent exercer une influence synergique sur les processus biologiques et comportementaux et que ces phénomènes pourraient avoir des répercussions à long terme par le biais d’une sensibilisation des processus associés aux réponses au stress. En terminant, je présente un aperçu des propriétés dépressogènes de ces cytokines dans des modèles murins et humains et je propose des hypothèses (et mises en garde) exploratoires quant aux mécanismes par l’entremise desquels les cytokines et les stresseurs peuvent aboutir au trouble dépressif majeur.

Introduction

“What’s the matter? You don’t seem like yourself today.”
“Yes, It’s nothing. Don’t worry about it.”
“Well, something’s definitely bothering you.”
“I don’t know. I’m just sort of feeling low.”
“Ohmm…. Maybe you’re coming down with something.”

We’ve all heard this exchange before, or one like it, and more likely than not it was soon forgotten. Yet, there may be importance to this interaction. Perhaps in the face of an oncoming illness, activation of the immune system gives rise to other biological changes that result in “feeling low.” It has been suggested that such responses are useful, because they encourage...
individuals to slow down, rest and maintain the resources needed to deal with the oncoming illness. In most instances, the cold or influenza will pass, and the ramifications on well-being will be minor. However, what are the consequences of more severe or persistent immunological insults (such as those associated with autoimmune disorders, cancer and even gum disease)? What are the consequences of medical treatments that influence (increase or reduce) immune function? Might immune activation influence anxiety and major depressive disorder (MDD)? If so, through which neurochemical processes does this influence occur?

Few investigators would disagree that stressful experiences are fundamental in the provocation of MDD and other illnesses. Considerable evidence points to a role for stressor-provoked neurochemical changes in the provocation, exacerbation and recurrence of illness. Major depressive disorder has been associated with variations of levels and turnover of serotonin (5-HT), alterations of the 5-HT transporter and several 5-HT receptors (5-HT1A, 5-HT1B, 5-HT2A and 5-HT3) and dopamine and norepinephrine function. Moreover, depression has been associated with alterations of peptidergic function, including corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), arginine vasopressin, neuregulin B and substance P, and growth factors (e.g., brain-derived neurotrophic factor [BDNF]).

In addition to the involvement of conventional processes, there has been a growing appreciation that activation of the inflammatory immune system, particularly the release of immune-signalling molecules (cytokines), might influence many of the neurochemical changes provoked by stressors and contribute to MDD. This position is reinforced by reports indicating that communication occurs among the immune, endocrine, autonomic and central nervous systems so that activation of the inflammatory immune system affects neuroendocrine and central neurotransmitter processes and vice versa. It has been suggested that the immune system operates like a sensory organ informing the brain of antigenic challenge. Because the neurochemical changes elicited by inflammatory factors are similar to those elicited by traditional stressors, it has been suggested that the central nervous system might translate immune activation as a stressor. Furthermore, by virtue of the stressor-like effects on central nervous system function, inflammatory immune activation might contribute to mood and anxiety-related disorders. In the present review, I outline some of the parallels between cytokines and stressors (particularly as they pertain to the development of MDD, variations of monoamine function, alterations of CRH function within the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal system and at extrahypothalamic sites) and on variations of BDNF levels. In addition, I review data showing that (a) cytokines and stressors act synergistically in promoting many of the behavioural and neurochemical alterations induced by these treatments and (b) both cytokines and stressors lead to sensitization of neurochemical processes so that later challenges will instigate more pronounced neurochemical disturbances. Finally, based on both animal and human studies, I describe several alternatives concerning the processes by which cytokines and stressors might come to provoke MDD.

The working model of the mechanisms by which cytokines and stressors may come to promote or exacerbate MDD is depicted in Figure 1. Multiple neurochemical alterations may be involved in the provocation of major depression. These neurochemical processes may act in a serial fashion wherein a cascade of neuronal variations is instigated by cytokines and stressors, culminating in MDD. In addition, several factors (e.g., stressors, inflammatory immune activation) may engender parallel neurochemical changes that can act independently, additively or synergistically in promoting MDD. My colleagues and I have also suggested that cytokines and stressors instigate activation of several common neuronal pathways. Among other effects, stressors serving as neurotransmitters and/or neuromodulators activate several neuropeptidergic systems, including neuropeptides that like a sensory organ informing the brain of antigenic challenge. Because the neurochemical changes elicited by inflammatory factors are similar to those elicited by traditional stressors, it has been suggested that the central nervous system might translate immune activation as a stressor. Furthermore, by virtue of the stressor-like effects on central nervous system function, inflammatory immune activation might contribute to mood and anxiety-related disorders. In the present review, I outline some of the parallels between cytokines and stressors (particularly as they pertain to the development of MDD, variations of monoamine function, alterations of CRH function within the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal system and at extrahypothalamic sites) and on variations of BDNF levels. In addition, I review data showing that (a) cytokines and stressors act synergistically in promoting many of the behavioural and neurochemical alterations induced by these treatments and (b) both cytokines and stressors lead to sensitization of neurochemical processes so that later challenges will instigate more pronounced neurochemical disturbances. Finally, based on both animal and human studies, I describe several alternatives concerning the processes by which cytokines and stressors might come to provoke MDD.

In addition to the involvement of conventional processes, there has been a growing appreciation that activation of the inflammatory immune system, particularly the release of immune-signalling molecules (cytokines), might influence many of the neurochemical changes provoked by stressors and contribute to MDD. This position is reinforced by reports indicating that communication occurs among the immune, endocrine, autonomic and central nervous systems so that activation of the inflammatory immune system affects neuroendocrine and central neurotransmitter processes and vice versa. It has been suggested that the immune system operates like a sensory organ informing the brain of antigenic challenge. Because the neurochemical changes elicited by inflammatory factors are similar to those elicited by traditional stressors, it has been suggested that the central nervous system might translate immune activation as a stressor. Furthermore, by virtue of the stressor-like effects on central nervous system function, inflammatory immune activation might contribute to mood and anxiety-related disorders. In the present review, I outline some of the parallels between cytokines and stressors (particularly as they pertain to the development of MDD, variations of monoamine function, alterations of CRH function within the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal system and at extrahypothalamic sites) and on variations of BDNF levels. In addition, I review data showing that (a) cytokines and stressors act synergistically in promoting many of the behavioural and neurochemical alterations induced by these treatments and (b) both cytokines and stressors lead to sensitization of neurochemical processes so that later challenges will instigate more pronounced neurochemical disturbances. Finally, based on both animal and human studies, I describe several alternatives concerning the processes by which cytokines and stressors might come to provoke MDD.

Stressor-provoked neurochemical changes

Analyses of stressor effects in animal models of depression have been useful in defining some of the neurochemical
alterations that might subserve pathology. Although most stressors elicit several common outcomes, it appears that the impact of different types of stressors are not entirely congruent. Various processive stressors (i.e., those that require information processing), including both psychogenic and neurogenic insults, do not promote identical effects, nor do conditioned stressors and naturalistic stressors that appear to elicit an innate response (e.g., exposure to predators or related cues). Human models of depression have shown that certain types of stressors (e.g., anticipation of an aversive event) are particularly likely to provoke anxiety, and the types of stressors that have the greatest impact among men may differ from those that have the greatest impact among women. In addition, several very potent stressors in humans such as shame or challenges to self-esteem, which involve complex appraisal-coping processes, cannot be analyzed in

![Fig. 1: Working model showing potential routes by which stressors and cytokines could influence depressive state. Stressors and cytokines may promote several common neurochemical changes, and it is suggested that they may act synergistically in affecting some neurochemical processes. Moreover, stressors and cytokines may result in the sensitization of neurochemical processes, resulting in exaggerated responses to subsequent challenges. Both cytokines and stressors, as depicted in the figure, increase the release of corticotropin-releasing hormones (CRH) in hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic sites, although, in the case of stressors, this outcome may involve activation of bombesin-like peptides (neuromedin B and gastrin-releasing peptide). In addition to activating hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal function, corticotropin-releasing hormones may influence serotonin (5-HT) processes, and γ-aminobutyric acid receptor A (GABA_A) activity may act as a mediator in this regard. The 5-HT variations (as well as those of other amines) may influence depression directly or may do so through other processes. In this regard, an alternative, although not necessarily mutually exclusive, pathway involves cytokine/stress activation of either nuclear factor-κB (NFκB), mitogen-activated protein (MAP) kinases or janus kinase / signal transducer and transcription (JAK-STAT) activator pathway signalling. These would influence oxidative or apoptotic mechanisms, leading to altered growth factor expression (e.g., brain-derived neurotrophic factor [BDNF]), again favouring impaired neuroplastic processes and culminating in major depression. ACTH = adrenocorticotropic hormone; AVP = arginine vasopressin; CORT = cortisol; DA = dopamine; GRP = gastrin-releasing peptide; IL = interleukin; NE = norepinephrin; NMB = neuromedin B; TNF = tumour necrosis factor. Reprinted from Anisman et al. Neurotransmitter, peptide and cytokine processes in relation to depressive disorder: comorbidity between depression and neurodegenerative disorders. Prog Neurobiol 2008;85:1-74, with permission from Elsevier.]
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rodent models. Nevertheless, animal-based studies have provided the platform to define the relations between various neuronal systems and some of the moderating variables that influence the effects of stressors. Accordingly, this research has been pertinent to the analyses of the differences encountered in assessing processes related to stressor-provoked MDD.

Monoamine variations

Paralleling the neurochemical changes that are thought to subserve MDD, studies showed that in rodents neurogenic stressors such as foot- or tail-shock influenced the turnover of norepinephrine, dopamine and 5-HT release in several brain regions.2,3,10 The changes of norepinephrine and 5-HT were particularly marked in specific hypothalamic nuclei (e.g., paraventricular nucleus) and in the hippocampus, amygdala and prefrontal cortex. Likewise, dopamine activity was exceedingly sensitive to stressors and was particularly marked in the prefrontal cortex and the nucleus accumbens.12 Psychogenic stressors similarly increased the release of norepinephrine from mesolimbic neurons14,15 and the release of 5-HT from hippocampal neurons.21 The anxiety that accompanied the amine variations was attenuated by chronic antidepressant treatment.22 In vivo, even mild stressors (e.g., tailpinch, novelty) provoked mesocorticolimbic amine alterations, and the observed effects were pronounced in response to psychosocial stressors.23,24

In addition to altering amine turnover, stressors influence monoamine receptor expression. For example, stress exposure (forced swim) has been shown to reduce 5-HT1A receptor density in the dorsal raphe nucleus and in the hippocampus, but has the opposite effect in the hypothalamus and amygdala.25 Similarly, it has been reported that behavioural impairments evident after exposure to inescapable shock are accompanied by increased messenger RNA (mRNA) expression of 5-HT1A receptors in the dorsal raphe nucleus, but do not affect postsynaptic 5-HT1A expression in the hippocampus or prefrontal cortex.26

The impact of stressors on neurochemical function is moderated by experiential and organismic factors and by characteristics of the stressors themselves. In the face of an intense stressor whose termination is not within the animal’s control, amine release may exceed the rate of synthesis, and stores of norepinephrine and 5-HT begin to deplete.27,28 Under such conditions, animals might be less able to contend with further insults, and behavioural impairments might more likely occur.29,30 In a rat study, animals that were first trained in an escapable test (a treatment that immunized them against the behavioural disturbances otherwise engendered by an uncontrollable stressor) did not demonstrate increased 5-HT release at the dorsal raphe nucleus. This outcome was tied to activity of the ventral aspect of the prefrontal cortex, suggesting that this brain region stores and interprets information about stressor controllability.29

It has been suggested that with chronic stressors the adaptive neurochemical systems may become excessively taxed (allostatic overload), leaving animals vulnerable to psychopathology.31 The impact of chronic stressors on monoamine systems is more pronounced when the stressors are unpredictable and when the nature of the stressor varies over days. Likewise, when animals are exposed to a series of stressors that permit adaptive changes to occur, the presentation of a novel stressor leads to augmented amine release.4 With repeated exposure to a stressor, amine synthesis increases and, as a result, the reduction of monoamine levels are not apparent.32 In addition, dopamine use may be moderated with chronic stressors.33 Not surprisingly, these effects are dependent on the nature of the stressors to which animals are exposed, and there is reason to believe that chronic social stressors engender particularly marked and persistent monoamine disturbances.34 With the sustained increase of amine turnover, further downstream effects occur (e.g., downregulation of β-norepinephrine receptor activity and the norepinephrine-sensitive cyclic adenosine monophosphate response;35 reduced 5-HT1a and 5-HT1b receptor expression).36,37,38 Although these neuronal changes might initially protect animals from adverse outcomes, their effectiveness is limited. Moreover, both pathology and allostatic overload are influenced by factors such as the organism stressor history, age and genetic disposition.39

Corticotropin-releasing hormone variations

Stressors instigate changes of several peptides, but there has been a particular focus on the changes of CRH.40 Ordinarily, acute stressors promote the release of CRH at the median eminence, stimulating pituitary adrenocorticotropic hormone and adrenal glucocorticoid secretion.40 However, in contrast to monoamine variations, corticosterone variations do not appear to be markedly influenced by stressor controllability. When a novel stressor is first encountered, it is uncertain whether the stressor is controllable or uncontrollable or whether the stressor will be brief or prolonged. The most efficacious response is one of hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal activation. As further information regarding the stressor is acquired, neuroendocrine responses might taper off. Thus fast-acting neuroendocrine processes essential for survival would not be expected to be influenced by stressor controllability. As the stressor continues, differences between the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal effects of controllable and uncontrollable stressors might emerge.

Stressor-provoked CRH alterations are not restricted to the hypothalamus; variations of in vivo release and CRH mRNA expression have been observed in the central amygdala.41,42 However, the processes involved in promoting the latter effects are distinct from those associated with hypothalamic CRH variations. In contrast to the inhibition of hypothalamic CRH associated with the corticosterone (or cortisol) stimulation of hippocampal receptors, in the central amygdala and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, cortisol increases CRH expression and GABA, neuronal function, thus provoking anxiety.43

Diffuse stimuli that promote general anxiety are thought to be associated with CRH variations in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis.44 In contrast, the increase of CRH expression
in the central and basolateral amygdala may be fundamental for the acquisition (rather than the expression) of a fear response. Although a range of stressors influence in vivo CRH release in the amygdala, the effectiveness of CRH antagonists in attenuating anxiety is dependent on the nature of the stressor to which animals were exposed. Unlike anxiety elicited by neurogenic stressors (or cues explicitly paired with a stressor), CRH antagonists do not alter the anxiety provoked by naturalistic stressors (e.g., predators), which may involve prewired neural circuits.

In line with CRH involvement in anxiety and depression, the CRH antagonist CP-154 526 attenuated the behavioural disturbance (learned helplessness) elicited by uncontrollable shock. In a study of genetically engineered mice with elevated or reduced CRH receptors, predictable alterations of anxiety and depressive-like behaviour were apparent. Essentially, anxiety was elevated among mice that over-produced CRH, although this outcome was specific to the behavioural test used.

There is also evidence that the type 1 CRH receptor (CRH1) may be involved in anxiety and depression. It has been reported that CRH, receptor expression is reduced in the prefrontal cortex in chronically stressed animals, a condition akin to the sustained distress of severe depression. Conversely, anxiety levels are diminished in CRH1 receptor knockout mice, although this outcome is dependent upon the basal levels of emotionality that were ordinarily apparent. Furthermore, among conditional knockout mice (Crhr1(loxP/loxP)Camk2a-cre) in which CRH1 receptors are inactivated in the anterior forebrain and in limbic brain regions (without affecting pituitary receptors), anxiety is reduced. In contrast, elevated CRH1 receptors were associated with a greater response to stressors. Although these data support the view that CRH1 variations may be pertinent to the development of anxiety, it ought to be considered that subtypes of anxiety (state v. trait, contextual or stimulus-bound) may involve different aspects of CRH function.

The involvement of CRH in anxiety is less clear, although it has been reported that knocking out this receptor subtype increases anxiety-like behaviours, most notably among male mice. Given the independent effects of CRH1 and CRH2, variations, Preil and colleagues assessed corticosterone and adrenocorticotropic hormone under basal conditions and in response to social defeat among double and single CRH1 and CRH2 knockout mice. In the CRH1 knockout mice and in the double knockout mice, the corticosterone and adrenocorticotropic hormone responses associated with stressors were attenuated, and the presence of the CRH2 gene did not compensate for the absence of the CRH1 gene, implicating the primacy of the CRH1 receptor. However, elevations of anxiety were more prominent among male mice than among females. Although these data point to CRH involvement in depression, it is unclear why CRH variations have a greater effect in male than in female mice, whereas anxiety and depression in humans are more frequent among women than men. At this time, it is uncertain whether the relative density and sensitivity of CRH1 receptors in men and women differ from those in mice. Furthermore, it is unlikely that CRH or CRH receptors are alone in affecting anxiety or depression; other factors might be responsible for the sex differences observed in humans.

**Brain-derived neurotrophic factor variations**

In line with the possibility that BDNF is associated with MDD, reductions of BDNF could be induced by psychological stressors (predator exposure and explicit or contextual cues previously paired with foot-shock) and by chronic stressors. Elevated anxiety in mice has been associated with reduced BDNF in the hippocampus, and BDNF infusion into the dorsal raphe nucleus or the hippocampus provokes antidepressant-like behavioural effects. Furthermore, antidepressant therapy increases BDNF expression and tropomyosin-related kinase B signalling, and it attenuates the effects of stressors. In a forced swim test, the antidepressant actions of desipramine were attenuated among BDNF knockout mice, pointing to the essential role of this trophic factor in accounting for the effects of antidepressant therapy. Interestingly, BDNF expression seems to be dependent on the estrus cycle and could be reduced by exogenous estrogen therapy, as estradiol has been shown to attenuate the upregulation of BDNF elicited by a 5-HT2A agonist.

Consistent with the view that BDNF may be associated with MDD, it has been reported that BDNF expression and protein levels, and that of its tropomyosin-related kinase B receptors, are lower within the prefrontal cortices and hippocampi of individuals who die by suicide than in age- and sex-matched controls. In addition, BDNF levels are reduced in depressed patients and are inversely correlated with the degree of clinical impairment and reductions of hippocampal volume. With successful antidepressant therapy, BDNF serum levels normalize. The relation between BDNF and depression may be sex-dependent. In particular, reduced BDNF levels are notable in depressed women but not men, and chronic antidepressant treatment selectively elevates the growth factor in women. Therefore, it is possible that the more frequent appearance of depression in women might be related to interactions between sex hormones, 5-HT receptors and BDNF.

**Stress, cytokines and depression**

**A brief primer on cytokines**

Immune cells (T and B lymphocytes, macrophages and endothelial cells) synthesize and secrete cytokines that function as signalling molecules. These cells also elicit growth and differentiation of lymphocytes. I will focus on the proinflammatory cytokines, namely interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-α and interferon-γ, because they have received the greatest attention with respect to depressive illness. However, it should be noted that other cytokines (especially anti-inflammatory cytokines such as interleukin-4 and interleukin-10) might influence immune–brain interactions and their effects on psychopathology.

In the case of interleukin-1β, signalling involves stimulation
of type 1 receptors that are found on the surface of lymphocytes, macrophages or monocytes, neurons and glial cells within the brain. Regulation of interleukin-1β involves an endogenous antagonistic ligand (interleukin-1 receptor antagonist) that competes with interleukin-1 for binding sites, and the anti-inflammatory cytokines interleukin-4 and interleukin-10. After activation of the interleukin-1β receptor, a cascade of changes ensue, culminating in the phosphorylation and degradation of the endogenous inhibitory factor-kB. This leads to the translocation of nuclear factor-kB to the nucleus, where it promotes the expression of several genes that influence neuronal homeostasis and inflammatory processes.

In addition to interleukin-1β, macrophages (and microglia within the brain) also produce tumour necrosis factor-α, which may affect mononuclear and polymorphonuclear blood cells, fibroblasts, keratinocytes, insulin-sensitive adipocytes, brain microglia and neurons. Ordinarily, endogenous antagonists for tumour necrosis factor-α, including α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone, block the actions of tumour necrosis factor-α and contribute to its regulation. Tumour necrosis factor-α stimulates 2 distinct receptor subtypes: p55 and p75. The p75 receptor contributes to nutritive functions such as the promotion of thymocyte proliferation, whereas the p55 receptor is important in apoptosis and cellular necrosis. Tumour necrosis factor-α possesses a unique apoptotic signalling mechanism involving a death domain region whose activation triggers the recruitment of caspases 1 and 8, thereby provoking cytotoxic actions. Several intracellular actions of the p55 receptor may be counterbalanced by the p75 receptor, which may under some circumstances have inhibitory effects on apoptosis.

Macrophages and T lymphocytes also secrete interleukin-6, which stimulates receptors on other immune cells, microglia, astrocytes, neurons and cells such as fibroblasts, endothelial cells and granulocytes that are not typically considered to be part of the immune system. The recruitment of glycoprotein-130 is necessary for functional binding of interleukin-6 to its receptor, and the resulting complex leads to a signalling cascade that involves activation of the janus kinase / signal transducer and transcription activator pathway. Subsequent nuclear translocation of the pathway’s homo- or heterodimers provokes the transcription of several immune and central nervous system regulatory proteins.

Finally, interferons can be divided into either type 1 interferons, including the interferon-α and interferon-β isoforms, and the structurally unrelated type 2 interferon-γ, which shares several functions with other interferons. Like interleukin-6, the signalling pathway used by interferons is the janus kinase / signal transducer and transcription activator pathway, resulting in sequential phosphorylation steps by intracellular protein kinases. The type 1 interferons also stimulate phosphatidylinositol 3–kinase and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways that are responsible for the ability of this cytokine to interfere with viral replication and the promotion of apoptosis of tumour and other cells.

Owing to their large size, cytokines do not readily enter the brain, although limited access is possible at sites where the blood–brain barrier is relatively permeable such as the circumventricular organs (e.g., subfornical organ, area postrema, organum vasculosum). In addition, entry of interleukin-1β and tumour necrosis factor-α into the brain can occur through saturable transport mechanisms, and actions of cytokines on central nervous system processes may occur indirectly through the stimulation of visceral branches of the vagus nerve. However, proinflammatory cytokines may influence blood–brain barrier permeability and upregulate their own production at vascular sites. In addition, chemokines (chemo-attractant cytokines) can also disrupt blood–brain barrier function and may be responsible for the vasogenic brain edema that often accompanies brain trauma, ischemia, central nervous system infection, presence of brain tumours and recurrent multiple sclerosis flares. Stressful events and immunologic challenges may also compromise the integrity of the blood–brain barrier, thereby influencing entry of cytokines into the brain parenchyma.

Within the brain, cytokines interact with endogenous receptors present on cells lining the blood–brain barrier and vascular areas. Moreover, through volume diffusion, cytokines may reach hypothalamic, amygdaloid and brain stem nuclei where interaction with specific receptors may occur, activating intracellular second messenger systems and stimulating mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways.

In addition to the fact that peripherally produced cytokines activate central processes through direct and indirect actions, cytokines, their receptors and anti-inflammatory factors are also endogenously expressed in the brain, being produced by glia and possibly by neurons. Messenger RNA expression of these cytokines (and their protein levels) is increased by endotoxins administration, traumatic insults, head injury, stroke and neurotoxins. Moreover, stressors increase cytokine mRNA expression and protein levels in the brain, and it has been suggested that neuroinflammatory cascades in the brain may contribute to neurologic and neuropsychiatric conditions.

**Cytokines engender stressor-like effects**

Not so long ago, it was thought that the actions of the immune system were independent of central nervous system function. However, it is now clear that activation of particular brain sites (and stimuli such as stressors that promote increased central neuronal activity) influence immune activity. Conversely, immune activation may influence various hormones and central neurotransmitter activity. The overview that follows shows that cytokines (or immune activation elicited by endotoxins), through their actions on monoamines, CRH and BDNF might contribute to the development of MDD.

Proinflammatory cytokines increase the release of CRH from the median eminence, leading to pituitary adrenocorticotropic hormone and adrenal corticosterone release. In addition to these hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal effects, like psychogenic and neurogenic stressors, cytokines also influence CRH activity at the central amygdala and increase the use of 5-HT and norepinephrine within the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (paraventricular
nucleus, prefrontal cortex, hippocampus and the central amygdala. Such effects have been observed in post-mortem tissue and in vivo, and they are apparent when the cytokine is administered systemically or centrally. Although interleukin-1β has been the object of the greatest attention, both interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α have been shown to affect central monoamine activity, although their actions are less pronounced than those provoked by interleukin-1β.

In addition to their potent individual actions, interleukin-1β plus interleukin-6 and interleukin-1β plus tumour necrosis factor-α have been shown to synergistically increase behavioural (sickness behaviours and reduced consumption of highly palatable substances) and hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal alterations. When interleukin-1β and interleukin-6 are administered together, the adrenocorticotropic hormone response is elicited earlier than that provoked when either of these cytokines is administered alone. Just as synergies involving different cytokines have been observed, when a mild stressor was administered in rats that had been treated with interleukin-1β, the in vivo release of 5-HT from the hippocampus was greatly increased. More recently, it has also been observed that when an immune challenge (e.g., interleukin-1β, lipopolysaccharide or the viral imitator, poly IC) is administered against a psychosocial stressor backdrop (e.g., reuniting cage mates after a period of separation), the behavioural (sickness), corticosterone and central norepinephrine alterations ordinarily elicited by immune challenges are greatly increased. The immune challenges also increase the circulating levels of both pro- and anti-inflammatory cytokines, and they markedly increase their mRNA expression in hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic brain regions. Because viral and bacterial challenges concurrently or sequentially affect multiple cytokines (and other inflammatory factors), and because MDD likely involves several neurochemical disturbances, the broad synergistic actions associated with cytokines and stressors may be particularly important in the development of MDD.

Consistent with the involvement of cytokines in the stress response, it has been reported that inhibition of inflammatory cytokine function could attenuate the effects ordinarily provoked by stressors. Specifically, the stressor-provoked hypothalamic monoamine alterations ordinarily elicited by a stressor are precluded if rats are pretreated with the interleukin-1β antagonist (interleukin-1ra). Likewise, the behavioural and neuroendocrine effects of a chronic mild stressor, and impaired hippocampal neurogenesis, are inhibited among interleukin-1β receptor knockout mice. These data implicate interleukin-1β in the circuitry mediating the monoaminergic effects of a stressor, but this interrelationship may be specific only to some cytokines. Although the corticoid elevation elicited by tumour necrosis factor-α is greatly attenuated in tumour necrosis factor-α p55 receptor knockout mice, the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal response provoked by restraint or lipopolysaccharide is unaffected in these mice.

Unlike the burgeoning literature indicating that stressors reduce BDNF in the brain, there have been few reports concerning the relation between cytokines and BDNF. However, it has been shown that interleukin-1β increases BDNF mRNA expression in hypothalamic neuron-enriched cultures. An opposite effect was apparent in mixed neuron-astrocyte cultures. This suggests selectivity regarding the site of cytokine actions (neuronal vs. glial). It has also been reported that tumour necrosis factor-α increases BDNF expression in primary astrocytes, apparently through actions on nuclear factor-kB, and that interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α are involved in the regulation of BDNF secretion in monocytes.

Consistent with the view that cytokines may influence anxiety and depressive states through actions on BDNF, it has been reported that both interleukin-1β and tumour necrosis factor-α reduce BDNF expression in the amygdala. In addition, interleukin-1β disrupts BDNF-induced signal transduction mediated by tropomyosin-related kinase B and interferes with the protection of neurons from apoptosis. These findings suggest similarities between the effects of stressors and cytokine administration on BDNF variations, just as they have congruent actions on proinflammatory cytokines. Attributing the depressogenic actions of cytokines to variations in BDNF is speculative but, nevertheless, warrants further consideration. There are reports that do not comfortably fit within this framework. Although stressors and cytokines might be expected to have parallel effects, it has been reported that fear conditioning in rats is associated with an increase of BDNF production in some portions of the hippocampus (possibly reflecting the learning of the fear response) and that this outcome is eliminated by interleukin-1β; the reasons for this are unclear. As indicated earlier, the elevated BDNF may be related to the rats learning the fear response, and it is possible that unpredictable, uncontrollable stressors (or chronic insults) would have yielded different results.

To this point, the discussion of cytokine effects has focused primarily on interleukin-1β, interleukin-6 and tumour necrosis factor-α. Even though interferon-α has found its way into the clinical treatment of particular forms of cancer and hepatitis C, the behavioural, hormonal and central neurochemical consequences of this cytokine have yet to be explored fully. Under normal conditions, systemic administration of interferon-α elicits modest behavioural changes, but it has been reported that when interferon-α is administered directly into the brain, fever, anorexia and analgesia are elicited, as are changes of hypothalamic neuronal firing. Like other cytokines, interferon-α increases plasma cortisol levels, but the magnitude of increase is small in comparison to that provoked by interleukin-1β. It has also been observed that, at low doses, interferon-α reduces corticosterone, but when administered directly into the brain it increases circulating corticosterone levels. In vitro, interferon-α stimulates CRH release within cells of the amygdala and hypothalamus and provokes in vivo neurochemical and hormonal changes in the brain.

In addition to hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal effects, systemically administered interferon-α stimulates GABA and glutamate function within limbic and hypothalamic regions.
increases 5-HT transporter mRNA expression, modestly increases hypothalamic and hippocampal norepinephrine use and reduces dopamine concentrations within the amygdala. In addition, when interferon-α is administered repeatedly, dopamine use and 5-HT levels in the prefrontal cortex are reduced, and 5-HT turnover increases within the amygdala. Consistent with the position that inflammatory processes are associated with the actions of interferon-α, it has been shown that the reduced 5-HT turnover in the prefrontal cortex and the depressive-like behavioural effects of the treatment are attenuated in rats that have been pretreated with the nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug diclofenac.

Interferon-α also promotes 5-HT_1C receptor mRNA editing, resulting in receptor downregulation. This outcome may be particularly relevant, because 5-HT_1C receptor function has been associated with anxiety and depressive symptoms, and because altered 5-HT_1C editing has been associated with depression and suicide.

As in animals, acute interferon-α treatment increases adrenocorticotropic hormone, cortisol and circulating interleukin-6 in healthy human volunteers and in patients with hepatitis. However, with repeated interferon-α therapy, the elevated hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal activity is not apparent. Furthermore, in mice, the sickness associated with interferon-α diminishes over 5 successive days of treatment; however, the corticosterone elevations are moderately increased relative to acute treatment.

**Sensitization effects**

Beyond their immediate effects, stressors may proactively influence neurochemical responses to subsequent stressors (i.e., sensitization). The sensitization of neuronal processes might be a key factor in promoting later stressor-provoked pathology and the very high rate of illness recurrence that is characteristic of depression. With successive stressor experiences (or with repeated bouts of depression), the degree of distress necessary to promote a further episode declines so that even minor events may be sufficient to precipitate a depressive episode. Furthermore, sensitized neuronal changes may be tied to anxiety processes, as the amine changes elicited by stressor re-exposure or stressor-related cues can be attenuated by anxiolytics and 5-HT_1 receptors.

Among previously stressed animals, later application of a mild stressor may result in augmented norepinephrine and dopamine in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus and augmented 5-HT use within the medial prefrontal cortex, and this occurs even if the 2 experiences involve very different types of challenges (cross-sensitization). Likewise, increased monoamine use is apparent when the second challenge involves a pharmacological stimulant such as amphetamine or cocaine. Moreover, in addition to altering amine levels and turnover, stressor re-exposure enhances hippocampal 5HT_1A receptor density while decreasing the affinity of those receptors. In contrast, 5-HT_1A receptor density is unaffected, whereas its affinity increases.

The stressor-induced sensitization of dorsal raphe 5-HT neuronal activity, which has been implicated in depression and anxiety, may involve a ligand related to CRH receptors, whereas the cross-sensitization between stressors and amphetamine may involve N-methyl-D-aspartate–glutamatergic receptors. Intriguing data have also been reported suggesting that basic fibroblast growth factor, a neurotrophic factor produced by astrocytes, may be fundamental for the neuronal plasticity associated with the protracted effects elicited by stressors and catecholamine stimulants. Evidently, varied neuronal systems are subject to sensitization and thus might contribute to varied pathologic outcomes.

Cytokines, like stressors, promote greater neuroendocrine and neurotransmitter responses when animals are re-exposed to the cytokine. Both tumour necrosis factor-α and lipopolysaccharide may influence the coexpression of CRH and arginine vasopressin just as interleukin-1β has previously been shown to promote this effect. It has been reported that paraventricular nucleus neurosecretory neurons have the capacity for phenotypic plasticity so that, among animals that were stressed or treated with cytokine, increased arginine vasopressin occurred within terminals of the external zone of the median eminence that contain CRH. Upon release, these secretagogues synergistically influence pituitary adrenocorticotropic hormone release, and, upon later exposure to the same cytokine or to a stressor, greater hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal activity is provoked. These effects become more pronounced with the passage of time (effects were marked 14–28 d after treatment, but minimal after 1–7 d). Just as cytokines increase the later response to stressors, it has also been shown that the response to a cytokine challenge is enhanced among rats that have previously been stressed. However, if rats are pretreated with an interleukin-1 antagonist, then the effects of the subsequent stressor are attenuated.

The sensitization associated with stressor and cytokine treatments is also evident within the amygdala, although the nature of the outcome is distinctly different from that seen in the hypothalamus. Systemic tumour necrosis factor-α administration results in the sensitization of CRH-related processes in the central amygdala so that later tumour necrosis factor-α challenge greatly augments CRH immunoreactivity. Unlike the median eminence changes, the elevated CRH immunoreactivity occurs as early as 1 day after the initial treatment and is only apparent upon re-exposure to the cytokine. Moreover, given the paucity of arginine vasopressin in this site, it seems that some mechanism other than the coexpression of CRH and arginine vasopressin is responsible for the sensitized neuronal responses.

Although it might be expected that cytokine-related behaviours would be subject to sensitization-like effects, there is currently limited information about behavioural changes that occur upon re-exposure to a cytokine or upon exposure to a stressor in animals previously treated with a cytokine. Even less information is available on the effects of stressor or cytokine re-exposure in animal models of depression (i.e., those that analyze anhedonia rather than motor activity or sickness) or about processes that have been linked to...
depression, including 5-HT receptor changes, 5-HT transporter or BDNF function. However, it has been reported that interleukin-1β treatment does not augment the shuttle escape deficits otherwise provoked by later interleukin-1β therapy. In contrast, there has been suggestive evidence of sensitization associated with inflammatory immune changes reported after child birth among women with a lifetime history of depression.

A brief digression is appropriate at this point, given that inflammatory responses engendered by early life events may have proactive effects, just as positive or negative experiences in early life may influence the adult response to different types of challenges. It has been shown that early-life exposure to inflammatory challenges affects adult responses to stressors, reflected by exaggerated corticosterone reactivity, elevated lymphocyte sensitivity to suppression and protection against adjuvant-induced arthritis. Although these data do not necessarily suggest common mechanisms for the sensitization in adults who experienced stressors early in life, they do illustrate the protracted impact of immunogenic stressors, particularly in the context of later vulnerability to pathology.

**Behavioural effects of cytokines in animal studies**

As indicated earlier, the immunologic and neurochemical changes provoked by inflammatory challenges likely facilitate adaptive responses to meet ongoing demand. The sickness associated with inflammatory immune activation may maintain energy resources to deal with the systemic insult. Conveniently, under conditions in which behaviours associated with sickness might be disadvantageous (e.g., in a novel or threatening environment), the expression of these behaviours may be suppressed. Yet it is clear that, in association with immune activation, behavioural changes that favour adverse outcomes, particularly the promotion of anxiety and depression, are instigated.

In rodents, immune activation provoked by bacterial endotoxins (e.g., lipopolysaccharide) and administration of interleukin-1β or tumour necrosis factor-α provoke soporific effects, ptosis, anorexia, fever, fatigue, reduced motor activity and curled body posture, which have collectively been referred to as "sickness behaviours." In addition, these treatments disrupt exploration, social interaction and operant responding for food reward, which may be secondary to the sickness behaviours. Because central administration of low doses of interleukin-1β or tumour necrosis factor-α also provokes signs of sickness, these behaviours are likely mediated through central nervous system processes.

Inasmuch as antidepressant therapy attenuates sickness behaviours and the elevated Fos expression provoked by endotoxins, it has been suggested that the sickness behaviours represent symptoms of depression. Further to the view that inflammatory processes contribute to depression, it has been reported that cyclooxygenase-2 antagonists (nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs) diminish the depressive-like behavioural and neurochemical alterations elicited by lipopolysaccharide and interferon-α administration, limit the corticosterone response to social stressors and limit the effects of lipopolysaccharide on Fos immunoreactivity within 5-HT neurons.

Although sickness behaviours are not characteristic of the primary symptoms of depression, they may reflect neurovegetative features associated with MDD (e.g., decreased appetite, motor retardation). It is equally likely that these "symptoms" are a reflection of general malaise rather than depressive illness. Thus it is particularly important that cytokines and bacterial endotoxins also seem to elicit anhedonia, a key symptom of depressive illness, independent of sickness (reflected by anorexia). It has been shown that when rats are tested in an operant task using a progressive ratio schedule for sucrose, performance is disrupted by interleukin-1β. In the progressive ratio test, the number of operant responses required for a fixed amount of sucrose increases progressively with each reward. This test provides an index of the motivation to work for reward in the face of increasing costs. In the test my colleagues and I used, animals were on a progressive ratio schedule to obtain a sucrose reward, but they also had free access to standard laboratory chow. Although free chow consumption and progressive ratio performance for sucrose were both diminished after interleukin-1β administration, recovery from the effects of the cytokine differed for free chow and for sucrose. Moreover, chronic antidepressant therapy attenuated the disruption of progressive ratio performance for the sucrose, but did not attenuate the cytokine’s effect on free chow consumption. These data not only support the view that interleukin-1β may instigate depressive-like effects, but also indicate that the anhedonia was independent of effects on anorexia. It has also been shown that treatment with an endotoxin (lipopolysaccharide) reduces responding for rewarding brain stimulation (using a current titration schedule). In this test, rats that receive lipopolysaccharide will and can respond to obtain rewarding brain stimulation, but they more readily stop responding once the value of the responses (delivery of a low current) is sufficiently diminished.

**Impact of chronic cytokine treatment**

Studies about the influence of cytokines on neurochemical and behavioural processes have typically evaluated the impact of acute treatments. Inasmuch as viral insults and cytokine immunotherapy all involve relatively persistent cytokine elevations, greater attention to the impact of chronic challenges is clearly needed (although the cost of cytokines may be prohibitive). There is reason to believe that chronically increased cytokine levels may engender particularly profound effects, possibly owing to the potential for compromised blood–brain barrier function. In addition, chronic cytokine elevations acting as a stressor may exert excessive strain on physiologic systems, just as chronic exposure to stressors might have such an effect. In fact, sustained systemic or intracerebroventricular interleukin-1β administration promotes persistent hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal activation, including protracted variations of CRH, CRH receptor and proopiomelanocortin gene expression, elevated secretion of adrenocorticotropic hormone, β-endorphin and
corticosterone. Furthermore, chronic central or intravenous interleukin-1β therapy increases immediate early gene expression in the paraventricular nucleus, central amygdala and supraoptic nucleus. As expected, anxiety and depressive characteristics in rats are associated with protracted interferon-α treatment, and the anhedonic effects of the cytokine only emerge after rats have received 2 weeks of treatment.

**Behavioural correlates of cytokines in human studies**

Human studies have shown that MDD is associated with elevated levels of circulating proinflammatory cytokines (and mitogen-stimulated cytokine production) and their soluble receptors as well as with indications of an acute phase response (e.g., haptoglobin, α1-antitrypsin, α1- and α2-macroglobulin and C-reactive protein) — all evidence that immune activation accompanies depression. In fact, the range of cytokines that is altered in patients with MDD compared with controls is exceedingly broad (macrophage inflammatory protein-1α; membrane cofactor protein-1; interleukin-1α, β, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 15; granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor; interferon-γ), and some of these cytokines have not typically been linked to depressive illness. Although some studies show that the levels of interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, tumour necrosis factor-α and interferon-γ associated with MDD are normalized with antidepressant therapy, other studies have not supported this finding. However, relative to other cytokines, interleukin-6 changes are more readily provoked with antidepressant therapy. It has been shown that depressed patients who respond positively to treatment with escitalopram have lower levels of tumour necrosis factor-α than do nonresponders. Overall, there seems to be some question as to whether cytokine elevations are simply markers of depression or whether they are causally related to the illness. It is possible that normalization of cytokine levels requires sustained treatment with antidepressants, that normalization only occurs in a subset of patients and that failure of cytokine normalization is a harbinger for illness recurrence.

Studies that support a relation between cytokine levels and MDD have not necessarily excluded a role for factors secondary to MDD in promoting the elevated cytokine levels. Hence the meaning of the cytokine–depression relations are uncertain. It has been suggested that factors that lead to depression (e.g., recent stressful experiences or those experienced early in life) might influence cytokine levels. Similarly, institutionalization (and the accompanying social and environmental change), drug use (including nicotine, alcohol and illicit drugs), eating habits or general care may influence cytokine levels. When cytokine levels are assessed controlling for age, body mass index, sex, smoking habits, recent infection or prior medication, differences of circulating cytokine levels between controls and depressed patients are absent. It is also possible that neurovegetative features (e.g., reduced appetite, weight loss, decreased sleep) evident in typical major depression could promote altered cytokine function; altered circadian cycles that could occur among depressed people might also influence cytokine production. Finally, MDD may be comorbid with a variety of other illnesses, including heart disease, diabetes and Parkinson disease, any of which could directly or indirectly influence circulating cytokine levels.

Despite the limitations of the correlational studies, when coupled with case–control studies, a relatively strong case can be made for inflammatory immune activation in MDD. It has been shown that immune-activating agents (e.g., endotoxin treatment or vaccines) administered in healthy adults may elicit depressive-like behaviours in direct relation to tumour necrosis factor-α and interleukin-6 secretion. Furthermore, there have been a large number of studies showing that immunotherapy (using interferon-α to treat some forms of cancer and hepatitis C) may lead to marked depressive illness. In some instances, these symptoms are sufficiently severe to necessitate termination of the therapy. Although depressive symptoms ordinarily resolve with treatment cessation, they are likely to reappear with subsequent cytokine continuation. However, it has also been reported that a second round of treatment is not accompanied by exacerbation of psychiatric symptoms.

The development of depression associated with interferon-α therapy is also influenced by factors that predispose to depression under other circumstances. For example, sub-syndromal levels of depression before immunotherapy predict MDD in response to interferon-α, as do poor social support resources. Furthermore, as observed in relation to mood changes elicited by lowering the 5-HT precursor tryptophan, susceptibility to depressive symptoms induced by interferon-α are greater among women and patients with a history of depression. Moreover, tryptophan levels are predictive of depressive illness, as are the initial elevations of adrenocorticotropic hormone and cortisol in response to interferon-α. Finally, patients with the highest baseline levels of s-interleukin-2R, interleukin-6, and interleukin-10, are particularly prone to depressed mood following immunotherapy. Although there has been some question as to whether the sickness associated with cytokine treatment actually reflects depression, vegetative symptoms after 4 weeks of interferon-α therapy predict the occurrence of subsequent MDD.

Together, these data not only provide support for cytokine involvement in the provocation of depression, but also support the view that altered 5-HT function caused by interferon-α therapy may be fundamental in eliciting this outcome. Indeed, there have been several reports indicating that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (e.g., sertraline, paroxetine, citalopram) diminish or eliminate the depressive symptoms provoked by interferon-α. There have also been indications that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors could be used prophylactically to limit the emergence of symptoms, although paroxetine, for instance, has been shown to be ineffective in this capacity. Interestingly, as observed in animal studies assessing the effects of antidepressants in attenuating the effects of interleukin-1β, it also appears that paroxetine primarily affects the mood-related symptoms and has only minor effects on sickness-like
behaviours, including fatigue and anorexia. Further support for inflammatory involvement in depression has come from studies showing that a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor enhances the effectiveness of antidepressant medication in treating depression in humans, although the practical use of this procedure is limited by the risk of gastrointestinal disturbances that may be provoked by anti-inflammatory agents, particularly when used in conjunction with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.

The finding that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors attenuate the pressogogic actions of cytokines is congruent with the position that 5-HT alterations are fundamental to at least some of the adverse actions of proinflammatory agents. However, this does not belie the possibility that selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors may act through other processes. Fluoxetine has been shown to reduce interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, interferon-γ and tumour necrosis factor-α expression while increasing circulating levels of the inhibitory cytokine interleukin-10. Desipramine reduces tumour necrosis factor-α levels within the hippocampus and brainstem, and the clinical efficacy of this compound has been linked to its ability to alter the sensitivity of norepinephrine neurons to tumour necrosis factor-α. Pretreatment with paroxetine has been shown to prevent the elevated interleukin-1β in blood and in the hippocampus induced by interferon-α. In fact, acting through different processes, a variety of antidepressants (e.g., amitriptyline, clomipramine, imipramine, bupropion) all diminish interleukin-1β and tumour necrosis factor-α release provoked by lipopolysaccharide. Yet another class of compounds with antidepressant effects, the phosphodiesterase IV inhibitors (e.g., rolipram), reduce cytokine production in response to immune activation. When considered as a whole, the studies assessing the effects of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (and other antidepressants) indicate that inflammatory factors contribute to cytokine-induced depression, but it is uncertain whether the effects observed are necessarily attributable to 5-HT variations.

Despite the impressive evidence showing that interferon-α immunotherapy elicits a depressive-like state, several caveats need to be stated concerning the behavioural actions of this cytokine. The effects of interferon-α are not limited to engendering depressive symptoms. In fact, patients treated with interferon-α present with cognitive disturbances, impaired concentration and memory, irritability, and manic periods. Moreover, at high doses, interferon-α may provoke a confused state characterized by disorientation and psychotic-like features. Cognitive impairments (e.g., disturbances of immediate recall) may become increasingly pronounced over the course of the treatment regimen. In addition, interferon-α immunotherapy may provoke disturbed vigilance, alertness and some memory problems, which diminish with treatment cessation. Thus, despite the positive effects of antidepressant treatments, the nonspecificity of symptoms associated with interferon-α immunotherapy begs the question: are the effects elicited by immunotherapy a genuine manifestation of MDD or are they a reflection of general malaise or toxicity? Notwithstanding, the emergence of depressive symptoms associated with interferon-α immunotherapy appears to be independent of cognitive disturbances. In fact, whereas 82% of their sample developed depressive symptoms, Reichenberg and colleagues found that 30% of patients experienced cognitive problems.

Another factor that warrants consideration is that the effects of interferon-α have not been assessed in healthy individuals, but in patients undergoing considerable strain (related to cancer or hepatitis C). Thus it is conceivable that the depressive effects associated with interferon-α immunotherapy actually represent the actions of the cytokine being superimposed on a stressful background. In fact, in animals, acute interferon-α elicits only modest behavioural effects (e.g., limited sickness), corticoid variations and effects on central monoamine function. However, when an acute interferon-α challenge is coupled with a potent social stressor (reuniting cage mates after a period of isolation, thereby promoting re-establishment of social hierarchies), the behavioural, neuroendocrine and central norepinephrine variations otherwise provoked by interferon-α are markedly enhanced.

**Mechanisms subserving cytokine-induced depression**

As indicated earlier, cytokines may promote depressive states through any of several different neuronal processes (and these are not mutually exclusive). Cytokines such as interferon-α stimulate indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase and GTP cyclohydrolase I activity. This activity, in turn, promotes degradation of the 5-HT precursor tryptophan, thereby reducing 5-HT function and increasing vulnerability to depressive illness. In addition, indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase promotes the catabolism of tryptophan into kynurenine and then into the oxidative metabolites 3-hydroxy-kynurenine and quinolinic acid (which themselves are increased in depression). These metabolites may have neurotoxic effects through the induction of free radical generation and hence may contribute to neurodegeneration and MDD.

Although indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase involvement in promoting depression has not been excluded, it has been suggested that MDD associated with inflammatory immune activation may stem from a cascade of neurochemical and growth factor changes. Like stressors, cytokines could potentially influence major depression through 2 major routes that each feed into several interconnected loops. Stressors and cytokines increase both hypothalamic and extrahypothalamic CRH release, which may come to provoke altered 5-HT function, possibly being moderated by GABA activity. This, in turn may influence depression directly or may do so by impairing neuroplastic processes involving BDNF (or other growth factors). Although the present review has focused on this particular route, the impact of cytokines on depressive states may stem from inhibition of glucocorticoid receptor function.

There has been particular interest in the role of nuclear factor-κB in mediating the relation between cytokine
activation and the emergence of MDD. Ordinarily, nuclear factor-κB is fundamental in mobilizing inflammatory chemokines and lymphocyte-proliferative responses to sites of infection and traumatic injury, and it has been suggested that it may be involved in promoting neuronal survival after injury to the hippocampus.237 The neuroprotective action of nuclear factor-κB may stem from the induction of antiapoptotic proteins such as bcl-2 and the antioxidant enzyme manganese-superoxide dismutase. Yet, nuclear factor-κB signalling may also provoke the synthesis of inflammatory cytokines, reactive oxygen species and excitotoxins that contribute to neurodegeneration.237 This might be a down-stream signalling factor for cytokines that contribute to pathologies such as Parkinson disease and multiple sclerosis,233,236 and it may be involved in MDD. Some of these changes, including nuclear factor-κB, may be instrumental in promoting depressive-like symptoms236,237,238 and may also contribute to anxiety, given that anxiety is diminished in mice deficient for the p50 subunit of nuclear factor-κB.239

Finally, cytokines might influence depressive pathology by affecting processes such as neurogenesis that are aligned with neuroplasticity.19 It has been shown that chronic interferon-α administration results in diminished hippocampal neurogenesis, and this outcome is attenuated by the interleukin-1 antagonist.237 Just as antidepressants prevent the effects of chronic stressors on neurogenesis,219 attenuation of inflammatory responses through indomethacin restore neurogenesis that was otherwise reduced by endotoxin therapy.220 Likewise, overexpression of interleukin-6 or administration of lipopolysaccharide inhibit hippocampal neurogenesis, and anti-inflammatory treatments prevent this outcome.228,229

**Summary**

Activation of the inflammatory immune system has been implicated as a factor that could promote MDD, presumably by acting on neurochemical processes and growth factors that are affected by traditional stressors (e.g., monoamines, CRH, BDNF). It is thought that proinflammatory cytokines entering the brain from peripheral circulation and de novo synthesis within the central nervous system may be fundamental in provoking these biological alterations. The actions of cytokines on behavioural and neurochemical processes are particularly marked when applied on a stressor backdrop, and previous stressor or cytokine elevations may augment responses to later cytokine (or stressor) challenges (sensitization). Thus current or past stressful life experiences may influence the neurochemical actions of inflammatory immune challenges and may increase vulnerability to MDD. It has been suggested that the comorbidity between MDD and several other illnesses (e.g., cardiovascular illness, diabetes, multiple sclerosis, Parkinson disease, Alzheimer disease) may involve inflammatory factors as a common denominator, and these processes may be involved in the evolution of disorders.1 It is still uncertain whether targeting inflammatory processes in the treatment of depressive disorders (or comorbid conditions) will be fruitful.
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