Association between functional and structural connectivity of the corticostriatal network in people with schizophrenia and unaffected first-degree relatives
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Introduction

Schizophrenia is a severe, disabling, highly heritable psychiatric disorder of unknown etiology.1,2 Elevated striatal activity3,4 and a dysfunctional prefrontal cortex5–7 are among the most prominent abnormalities observed in people with schizophrenia.8 The striatum, where antipsychotic drugs mainly act,9,10 interacts with cortical areas involved in neurocognitive domains, such as affect and cognitive control.11–13 Neuroimaging studies have revealed that schizophrenia is associated with aberrant connectivity of the corticostriatal network,14–16 and that corticostriatal functional connectivity can predict response to antipsychotic drug treatment,17 implicating the corticostriatal network in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia.

The striatum is a complex structure comprising the caudate, putamen and nucleus accumbens, and it has various functions and roles.18,19 The striatum, where antipsychotic drugs mainly act,9,10 interacts with cortical areas involved in neurocognitive domains, such as affect and cognitive control.11–13 Neuroimaging studies have revealed that schizophrenia is associated with aberrant connectivity of the corticostriatal network,14–16 and that corticostriatal functional connectivity

Background: Dysfunction of the corticostriatal network has been implicated in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia, but findings are inconsistent within and across imaging modalities. We used multimodal neuroimaging to analyze functional and structural connectivity in the corticostriatal network in people with schizophrenia and unaffected first-degree relatives. Methods: We collected resting-state functional magnetic resonance imaging and diffusion tensor imaging scans from people with schizophrenia (n = 47), relatives (n = 30) and controls (n = 49). We compared seed-based functional and structural connectivity across groups within striatal subdivisions defined a priori. Results: Compared with controls, people with schizophrenia had altered connectivity between the subdivisions and brain regions in the frontal and temporal cortices and thalamus; relatives showed different connectivity between the subdivisions and the right anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) and the left precuneus. Post-hoc t tests revealed that people with schizophrenia had decreased functional connectivity in the ventral loop (ventral striatum–right ACC) and dorsal loop (executive striatum–right ACC and sensorimotor striatum–right ACC), accompanied by decreased structural connectivity; relatives had reduced functional connectivity in the ventral loop and the dorsal loop (right executive striatum–right ACC) and no significant difference in structural connectivity compared with the other groups. Functional connectivity among people with schizophrenia in the bilateral ventral striatum–right ACC was correlated with positive symptom severity. Limitations: The number of relatives included was moderate. Striatal subdivisions were defined based on a relatively low threshold, and structural connectivity was measured based on fractional anisotropy alone. Conclusion: Our findings provide insight into the role of hypoconnectivity of the ventral corticostriatal system in people with schizophrenia.
cingulate cortex (ACC) and other limbic areas. The ventral circuitry is critically involved in emotion-processing and reward-based learning, and dysfunction of the ventral circuit has long been hypothesized to underlie psychotic symptoms. Functional MRI (fMRI) studies have reported impaired prefrontal–ventral striatum functional coupling in people with schizophrenia during reward processing, executive processing and at rest. Furthermore, similar ventral frontostriatal dysfunction during reward processing has also been seen in unaffected relatives of people with schizophrenia. High-resolution MRI studies based on a rodent model of schizophrenia have found impaired limbic corticostriatal structures. As well, increased ventral striatal CB1 receptor binding has been related to negative symptoms in drug-free people with schizophrenia. Convergent evidence implicates compromised structural integrity of the ventral prefrontal–striatum pathway in people with schizophrenia and unaffected siblings of people with schizophrenia. These findings suggest that altered connectivity between the ventral striatum and cortical regions may represent a risk phenotype in people with schizophrenia.

In addition to the focus on the ventral system, it has also been reported that not only does increased intrinsic activity in the ventral striatum correspond to psychosis, but activity in the dorsal striatum (the associative and sensorimotor striatum) is also correlated with the disease state. The dorsal striatum has multiple reciprocal channels of communication with the dorsal prefrontal cortex through the hippocampus, and it is highly involved in executive and other higher cognitive processes. Studies with high-resolution tomographic imaging have revealed altered dopamine in the dorsal striatum in unmedicated people with schizophrenia and in people with prodromal signs of psychosis. Resting-state fMRI studies have found abnormalities in functional coupling between the dorsal caudate and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in people with first-episode schizophrenia, unaffected first-degree relatives and people with an at-risk mental state for psychosis. Meta-analyses have shown that dopaminergic dysfunction is greater in dorsal than in ventral subdivisions of the striatum in people with schizophrenia. A diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) study suggested that people with chronic schizophrenia have fewer fibre connections and reduced fractional anisotropy in the striatal associative loop. People with first-episode schizophrenia have been shown to have abnormal white matter microstructure in tracts connecting the prefrontal cortex and the associative striatum, and such abnormality is significantly associated with executive dysfunction. A voxel-wise study of DTI data has shown that patients with adolescent-onset schizophrenia have abnormal connectivity between the dorsal striatum and the ACC. Additionally, functional connectivity between the dorsal caudate and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, as well as glutamate levels in the associative striatum, are modulated by pharmacologic intervention. Collectively, these findings indicate that disruptions in the corticostriatal circuitry are implicated in the pathophysiology of schizophrenia. However, most existing studies have examined structural or functional brain connectivity alone, and were not equipped to characterize both structural and functional corticostriatal circuitry.

In the present study, we adopted multimodal neuroimaging to measure the functional and structural connectivity of the corticostriatal circuitry in people with schizophrenia and their unaffected first-degree relatives. Because first-degree relatives share an average of 50% of the genes of people with schizophrenia, including schizophrenia risk genes, and because they also have a 10-fold higher risk of developing schizophrenia, studies of unaffected relatives may help identify brain-connectivity patterns that are predictive of schizophrenia. We hypothesized that corticostriatal connectivity would be aberrant in people with schizophrenia, and that such aberrance would appear in their unaffected first-degree relatives as well.

**Methods**

**Participants**

This study included 47 people with schizophrenia, 30 unaffected first-degree relatives (12 siblings, 10 offspring and 8 parents), and 49 nonclinical controls. The schizophrenia group included 10 probands of the relatives. All participants provided written informed consent to participate. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Beijing Hui-Long-Guan Hospital (Beijing, China). The people with schizophrenia were evaluated using the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for symptom severity. We also assessed cognitive function in all participants using the digit span, digit symbol coding and verbal fluency tests to evaluate working memory, information-processing ability and executive functioning, which have been reported to be impaired in people with schizophrenia. Participant inclusion and exclusion criteria and medication details can be found in Appendix 1, available at jpn.ca/190015-a1.

**Data acquisition and processing**

We collected imaging data using a Siemens Magnetom Trio 3.0 T imaging system with a standard head coil at the Peking University Third Hospital. We acquired high-resolution structural T1 imaging data with the following parameters: matrix size 256 × 256; 192 contiguous axial slices, slice thickness 1 mm, voxel resolution 1 × 1 × 1 mm³, flip angle 7°, echo time 3.44 ms, repetition time 2530 ms, inversion time 1100 ms. We used a gradient-recalled echo-planar imaging sequence (repetition time 2000 ms, echo time 30 ms, flip angle 90°) to collect resting-state fMRI scans with a matrix size of 64 × 64, a field of view of 220 × 220 mm², and a slice thickness of 4 mm (no gap), yielding resting-state fMRI scans with 240 time points at a voxel size of 3.4 × 3.4 × 4.0 mm³. During data acquisition, participants were instructed to close their eyes, relax and remain awake. We collected DTI data with the following parameters: repetition time 7000 ms, echo time 92 ms, field of view 256 × 256 mm², b0 image and 64 gradient directions at b = 1000 s/mm², matrix size 128 × 128, voxel size
2.0 × 2.0 × 3.0 mm³, number of slices 50. All scans were checked for artifacts, structural abnormalities and pathologies by a qualified neuroradiologist. Participants were excluded if their head motion involved translation greater than 2 mm or rotation greater than 2°, or if mean frame-wise displacement was greater than 0.5 mm (13 people with schizophrenia, 1 relative and 1 control participant were excluded). Preprocessing steps are outlined in Appendix 1.

**Definition of seed regions of interest**

We identified 3 subdivisions of the striatum — the ventral striatum (VST), executive striatum (EST) and sensorimotor striatum (SMST) — using the Oxford–GSK–Imanono Striatal Connectivity Atlas; each voxel had a probability above 25%.50,51 In particular, the ventral striatum is equivalent to the limbic striatum, comprising the anterior ventral caudate and putamen, the nucleus accumbens and the ventral postcommissural putamen, which contributes to 20 ± 7% of the total striatal volume. The executive striatum includes the rostral striatum and extends postcommissurally. The sensorimotor striatum includes the dorsal tier of rostral striatum, the dorsal putamen, the caudate to the anterior commissure and the postcommissural striatum.

**Functional connectivity measurement**

We measured functional connectivity using a seed-based, whole-brain, voxel-wise functional connectivity analysis approach. We extracted mean resting-state fMRI time courses from each seed region. Then, we computed the Pearson correlation coefficient between each voxel’s time course and the mean time courses for each of the striatal subdivisions for every participant. Then, we applied Fisher r-to-z transformation to the functional connectivity maps, yielding 6 maps for each participant at a spatial resolution of 2 × 2 × 2 mm³ in the Montreal Neurological Institute space.

**Structural connectivity measure**

We performed probabilistic tractography using the FSL (http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl) suite. We conducted fibre tracking from the 6 subdivisions of the striatum to each voxel in the whole brain. We adopted the default parameters of the fibre tracking (5000 samples, maximum number of steps 200, step length 0.5 mm, curvature threshold 0.2). We identified the seeds in the native space of each participant’s DTI scan using the inverse deform field transformation obtained for coregistering multimodal scans. We computed the structural connectivity strength of each connection as the weighted mean fractional anisotropy value of the probabilistic fibres from the striatal subdivisions to other voxels.52 Finally, we spatially normalized the single-participant images to the Montreal Neurological Institute space using DARTEL in SPM8 (www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/), resampled to 2 × 2 × 2 mm³ during normalization, yielding 6 whole-brain structural connectivity maps for each participant.

**Statistical analysis**

**Behavioural data analysis**

We compared demographic data (age and education) and cognitive testing results for each domain across groups using 1-way analysis of variance, followed by least significant difference–Student Newman Keuls post hoc contrast analyses. The χ² tests showed that sex distribution was equal across groups (p > 0.05), but age differed across groups. We used age as a covariate in an analysis of covariance.

**Multimodal connectivity analysis**

We used a 2-sample Hotelling T² test to jointly examine group voxel-wise differences in the functional connectivity and structural connectivity maps. For each voxel, we concatenated 6 functional connectivity measures and 6 structural connectivity measures of the subdivisional striatum as an input to the test. We identified brain regions with statistically significant group differences using permutation tests (n = 10000, Pperm < 0.001, cluster size > 100). We then applied post hoc t tests to functional connectivity and structural connectivity measures of connections that had significant differences to investigate modality-specific differences.

**Corticostriatal connectivity patterns and statistical group differences**

We used statistical nonparametric mapping (SnPM13; http://warwick.ac.uk/snpm) for separate group analyses of functional connectivity and structural connectivity maps. We applied pseudo voxel-level 1-sample t tests to functional and structural connectivity maps within each group to identify brain regions with statistically significant functional or structural connectivity measures (1000 permutations; Pperm < 0.05; cluster size > 10). Then, we used pseudo voxel-level 2-sample t tests to compare functional or structural connectivity maps between groups (1000 permutations; Pperm < 0.05; cluster size > 10) in brain regions with statistically significant functional or structural connectivity measures identified by the pseudo 1-sample t tests.

**Correlation analysis of brain connectivity and clinical measures**

We performed a correlation analysis in people with schizophrenia between functional and structural connectivity measures and a set of quantitative measures, including clinical symptoms, cognitive tests and chlorpromazine-equivalent doses of antipsychotic medication. Specifically, we focused on functional and structural connectivity measures between the subdivisional striatum and brain regions with significant group differences identified in the multimodal connectivity analysis. For each specific symptom score using PANSS, we used a linear regression model to regress out other scores except for the total score before computing its correlations with the connectivity measures. We used sex, age, education and duration of illness as covariates in the correlation analysis between connectivity and PANSS. We set statistical significance at p < 0.0021 (Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, p = 0.05/24 = 0.0021).
Results

Demographic and clinical characteristics

Demographic and clinical information for all participants is presented in Table 1. The groups did not differ by sex or education level. Relatives were older than people with schizophrenia and controls ($F_{1,215} = 18.04, p < 0.05$). We included age as a covariate in all statistical analyses.

We found significant differences across groups in test scores for digit span ($F_{2,123} = 11.46, p = 0.002$), digit symbol coding ($F_{2,123} = 37.81, p < 0.001$) and verbal fluency ($F_{2,123} = 14.92, p = 0.007$). People with schizophrenia performed significantly worse than controls on all cognitive tests. Relatives had lower scores than controls on the digit symbol coding and digit span tests, but results on the verbal fluency test did not differ. People with schizophrenia also showed significantly lower scores than relatives on the digit symbol coding and verbal fluency tests, but no difference on the digit span test.

Corticostriatal connectivity

Subdivisions of the striatum had connections to distinct, largely nonoverlapping cortical regions (Fig. 1). The brain regions connected with the VST included the ACC, OFC, thalamus and bilateral hippocampi; the brain regions connected with the EST included the middle and inferior frontal gyri, occipital cortex, ACC and middle cingulate cortex; the brain regions connected with the SMST included the supplementary motor cortex, precuneus, calcarine sulcus, superior parietal gyrus and insula. The corticostriatal connectivity patterns were slightly different in people with schizophrenia, relatives and controls.

Table 1: Participant demographic and clinical features*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Schizophrenia (n = 47)</th>
<th>Relatives (n = 30)</th>
<th>Controls (n = 49)</th>
<th>$F_{2,123}$ / $\chi^2$</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
<th>Post hoc, $p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr</td>
<td>28.11 ± 8.14</td>
<td>32.97 ± 7.86</td>
<td>26.24 ± 5.96</td>
<td>18.04</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, male/female</td>
<td>18/29</td>
<td>11/19</td>
<td>25/24</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level, yr</td>
<td>12.91 ± 3.01</td>
<td>12.07 ± 3.40</td>
<td>14.02 ± 4.56</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at onset, yr</td>
<td>25.61 ± 6.09</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of illness, yr</td>
<td>2.86 ± 2.10</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PANSS score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>77.41 ± 6.94</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>26.07 ± 3.04</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>15.78 ± 2.61</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General</td>
<td>35.61 ± 3.86</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug dose, mg/d†</td>
<td>235.88 ± 93.71</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digit span score</td>
<td>13.79 ± 2.90</td>
<td>13.90 ± 2.72</td>
<td>16.24 ± 2.64</td>
<td>11.46</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol coding score</td>
<td>45.81 ± 14.73</td>
<td>57.80 ± 12.82</td>
<td>68.33 ± 10.26</td>
<td>37.81</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal fluency score</td>
<td>17.70 ± 5.35</td>
<td>19.60 ± 5.15</td>
<td>23.10 ± 4.25</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame-wise displacement, mm</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PANSS = Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale.

*Findings are mean ± standard deviation unless otherwise indicated.

†Chlorpromazine equivalent.

Results of the Hotelling $T^2$ test revealed that multimodal subdivisional striatal connectivity patterns were statistically different across groups (Fig. 2 and Table 2). Compared with controls, people with schizophrenia showed altered connectivity between the striatal subdivisions and several cortical regions, including the right OFC, the left superior frontal gyrus, the bilateral superior temporal gyrus, the right precentral gyrus, the right ACC and the thalamus (Fig. 2A); relatives showed different connectivity between the striatal subdivisions and the right ACC and the left precuneus (Fig. 2B). Specifically, both people with schizophrenia and relatives showed altered connectivity between the striatal subdivisions and the right ACC, which we selected as a region of interest (a union of regions that were significantly different in their multimodal connectivity measures between people with schizophrenia and controls, and between relatives and controls) for a post hoc test to delineate how the groups under study differed in their functional connectivity and structural connectivity patterns. We also observed significant differences in connectivity between the right ACC and the putamen in people with schizophrenia and relatives (Fig. 2C).

Post hoc $t$ tests revealed that the connectivity pattern between the right ACC and the subdivisional striatum was different across groups. As shown in Figure 3, people with schizophrenia showed weaker functional connectivity in the ventral loop and the dorsal loop, including between the bilateral VST and right ACC, between the bilateral EST and right ACC, and between the right SMST and right ACC. Relatives had reduced functional connectivity in the ventral loop and the executive loop, including functional connectivity between the bilateral VST and right ACC, and between the right EST and right ACC. We observed no significant differences in the
functional connectivity of right ACC–striatal subdivisions between people with schizophrenia and relatives.

People with schizophrenia showed weaker structural connectivity than controls between the bilateral VST and right ACC, between the right EST and right ACC, and between the bilateral SMST and right ACC. Relatives had no significant differences in structural connectivity compared to controls or people with schizophrenia (Fig. 3).

Statistical group comparisons of functional and structural connectivity maps

We also separately examined group differences in the whole-brain voxel-wise functional connectivity and structural connectivity maps. Significant group differences are presented in Appendix 1. Compared with controls, people with schizophrenia and relatives had weaker functional connectivity between the VST and cortical areas of the OFC (Brodmann areas [BA] 11 and 47) and the ACC (BA32), and people with schizophrenia had weaker functional connectivity than relatives (Appendix 1, Fig. S1). People with schizophrenia also had weaker functional connectivity in the dorsal loops (sensorimotor and executive loops; Appendix 1, Tables S2 and S3 and Fig. S1). Compared with controls, people with schizophrenia had weaker structural connectivity between the bilateral EST and the superior frontal gyrus, and between the bilateral SMST and the inferior parietal lobule; they had stronger structural connectivity between the SMST and the precentral gyrus (Appendix 1, Fig. S2). Relatives had stronger structural connectivity between the VST and the orbitofrontal cortex, the right EST–right superior frontal gyrus, and the right EST–middle frontal gyrus, orbital part, than controls.

Correlation analysis of brain connectivity and clinical measures

We correlated the functional connectivity measures between the bilateral VST and the ACC with PANSS positive scores (right: \( r = -0.586, p < 0.001 \); left: \( r = -0.429, p < 0.002 \)), and these correlations survived Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (Fig. 4). The structural connectivity between the EST and the ACC was marginally correlated with PANSS total score (\( r = -0.276, p = 0.033 \)). Connectivity measures were not significantly correlated with cognitive tests or antipsychotic medication dosage.

Discussion

In the present study, we examined corticostriatal connectivity in people with schizophrenia and their unaffected first-degree relatives.
relatives using multimodal fMRI and DTI. Our imaging data analysis revealed that each subdivisional striatum (3 per hemisphere) connected to distinct, largely nonoverlapping cortex regions, similar to existing findings.\textsuperscript{31,53,54} We found that the connectivity between many cortical areas (e.g., the OFC and ACC) and the striatum was altered in people with schizophrenia; relatives showed reduced connectivity between the striatum and the right ACC and the left precuneus. Specifically, we observed aberrant functional connectivity of the ventral corticostriatal circuitry in both people with schizophrenia and relatives; however we detected structural abnormalities only in people with schizophrenia. In addition, the abnormal connectivity between the VST and the right ACC was correlated with positive symptom severity in people with schizophrenia. These results, in conjunction with subregional striatum shape abnormalities found in patients with childhood-onset schizophrenia in a longitudinal study,\textsuperscript{55} demonstrate the potential of the striatum as an endophenotype for schizophrenia.

![Fig. 2: Brain regions with significantly different structural and functional connectivity measures between groups. (A) Differences between people with schizophrenia and controls. (B) Differences between unaffected first-degree relatives of people with schizophrenia and controls. (C) Differences between relatives and people with schizophrenia. Results are displayed at $p_{\text{FWE}} < 0.01$, cluster size $> 100$. In particular, the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) was common in people with schizophrenia and unaffected relatives; the striatal connectivity patterns were significantly different from controls. FWE = family-wise error.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of difference (BA)</th>
<th>Side</th>
<th>Cluster size, voxels</th>
<th>MNI coordinates, x, y, z</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schizophrenia &lt; controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orbitofrontal cortex (47/11)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>38, 42, −14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cingulate cortex (32/24)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>0, 24, 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cingulate cortex (31)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>8, −44, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior frontal gyrus (10)</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>−26, 56, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precentral gyrus (6)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>60, 2, 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus (22)</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>−66, −10, 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior temporal gyrus (43)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>50, −6, 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>−20, −26, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>18, −26, 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellum posterior lobe</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>1068</td>
<td>−14, −80, −48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar tonsil</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>38, −54, −46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives &lt; controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior cingulate cortex (32)</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>2, 22, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precuneus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>−6, −78, 38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relatives &gt; schizophrenia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal pole</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>−30, 8, −26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal pole</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>38, 22, −38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>−14, 10, −2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>16, 6, −4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BA = Brodmann area; MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute.
Fig. 3: Functional connectivity and structural connectivity (fractional anisotropy) between the striatum and the right anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in controls, people with schizophrenia and unaffected relatives. (A) Scatter plots of functional connectivity between the right ACC and the left ventral striatum (VST; limbic striatum). (B) Scatter plots of fractional anisotropy between the right ACC and the VST. (C) Scatter plots of functional connectivity between the right ACC and the executive striatum (EST). (D) Scatter plots of fractional anisotropy between the right ACC and the EST. (E) Scatter plots of functional connectivity between the right ACC and the sensorimotor striatum (SMST). (F) Scatter plots of fractional anisotropy between the right ACC and the SMST. Asterisks indicate significant group differences: *p < 0.05; **p < 0.005; ***p < 0.001. C = controls; REL = relatives; SZ = schizophrenia.
The role of the corticostriatal circuit in schizophrenia

Consistent with the long-standing and well-accepted view of corticostriatal dysfunction in schizophrenia, we observed decreased corticostriatal connectivity according to both functional and structural connectivity measures in people with schizophrenia. Hypoactivity in the corticostriatal system and decreased functional coupling during working memory have been reported in fMRI studies of schizophrenia and proactive inhibition tasks. Findings from resting-state fMRI studies have also demonstrated altered corticostriatal circuitry in people with chronic schizophrenia and in people with schizophrenia with auditory/verbal hallucinations. The widespread dysregulation of corticostriatal dynamics identified in our study was similar to functional dysconnectivity patterns in the corticostriatal circuitry found in people with first-episode psychosis. However, a recent study of first-episode, treatment-naïve people with schizophrenia observed decreased functional connectivity in the ventral loop, but not in the sensorimotor or associative loops of the frontostriatal circuitry, but no significant difference in functional connectivity of the striatum between healthy people and people with psychosis. These inconsistent findings might be caused by various factors, including differences in the samples under study (such as sample size, illness stage or medication use) and as different diagnostic groups (e.g., psychotic disorder or schizophrenia-spectrum disorders).

Several studies have demonstrated that functional connectivity of the striatum is correlated with treatment outcomes. In particular, effective treatment of psychotic symptoms was associated with increased connectivity between the striatum and frontal and limbic regions; baseline striatal functional connectivity was predictive of response to antipsychotic drug treatment; and longer duration of untreated psychosis was correlated with worse response to treatment, as well as with overall decreased functional connectivity in corticostriatal circuits.

We also found that people with schizophrenia showed reduced structural connectivity between the striatum and the right ACC, but we observed no significant structural connectivity difference between relatives and controls. These findings might reflect the fact that structural changes are more stable and show slightly progressive alterations over the course of disease. Human brain development is characterized by progressive remodelling of the brain’s structural and functional architecture, including the proliferation and differentiation of neurons, the pruning of cell processes and the formation of synapses. Our results support the concept of a neurodevelopmental defect in corticostriatal circuits in schizophrenia and may help to explain the dysfunction of dopaminergic regulation in schizophrenia psychopathology. Studies using DTI have identified abnormalities in the ventral and dorsal white matter tracts. In particular, reduced frontostriatal white matter integrity has been reported in people with schizophrenia and unaffected siblings, and reduced structural connectivity in the associative loop of corticostriatal white matter tracts has been reported in people with first-episode schizophrenia and chronic schizophrenia. The striatum relays and modulates communication between subcortical regions and the cortex, and plays a major role in various neurocognitive domains, including motor and cognitive control, motivation and emotional processing; the dysfunction of which may account for the wide array of clinical and cognitive symptoms observed in schizophrenia. However, in the present study we found no correlations between functional or structural connectivity and symptom severity. These findings might reflect the fact that functional changes are more stable and show slightly progressive alterations over the course of disease.

Fig. 4: Correlations between positive symptom severity and decreased connectivity of the ventral corticostriatal circuitry in people with schizophrenia. (A) Scatter plot of the association between positive symptom scores and connectivity between the left ventral striatum (VST; limbic striatum) and the right anterior cingulate cortex (ACC). (B) Scatter plot of the association between positive symptom scores and the connectivity between the right VST (limbic striatum) and the right ACC.
Multimodal study of corticostriatal network in psychosis

Dysfunction of the ventral corticostriatal circuit

We also observed changes in functional connections of the ventral corticostriatal circuit in relatives. Existing studies have revealed that people at ultra-high risk for schizophrenia have weaker ventral striatal activation during reward-processing than healthy people\(^{55} \); hyperconnectivity of the ventral frontostriatal circuitry is an endophenotype for psychosis\(^{36} \); and risk for psychosis is mediated by a complex interplay of alterations in both the dorsal and ventral corticostriatal systems.\(^{36} \) A meta-analysis has also revealed significant bilateral VST hypoactivation during reward-processing in psychosis.\(^{56} \) Together, these results indicate that dysconnectivity in ventral circuits could be detected early, even in people without clinical symptoms.

We identified no significant group differences in structural connectivity between the right ACC and the subdivisional striatum in relatives, although a previous DTI study revealed reduced ventral frontostriatal white matter integrity in unaffected siblings.\(^{56} \) Such a discrepancy might have been caused by the fact that our sample included siblings, offspring and parents.

Symptom-related corticostriatal dysconnectivity

We also found that functional connectivity of the ventral corticostriatal circuit was correlated with positive symptom severity in the patient group, suggesting that this pathway may play an important role in the formation of psychotic symptoms. Similarly, ventral striatal activation was reported to be positively correlated with positive symptoms in people with schizotypal personality traits and early psychosis.\(^{57} \) Moreover, vulnerabilities in the ventral circuits mediate the transition from genetic liability to the emergence of psychotic symptoms, such as increased dopamine levels and striatal dopamine synthesis capacity,\(^{60} \) as well as altered glutamatergic–dopaminergic interactions.\(^{59} \) Alterations in the dopaminergic reward system, predominantly in the ventral frontostriatal networks, constitute core characteristics of schizophrenia. Meanwhile, psychosis could be correlated with abnormal assignment of salience to internal and external stimuli.\(^{56,57} \) It has been reported that clinical outcomes are related to longitudinal changes in ventral striatum function during salience processing in people at ultra-high risk for psychosis.\(^{72} \) The ACC, a region connected with the salience network, has been associated with a variety of salience attribution functions.\(^{72} \) We demonstrated that the decreased ventral striatum–ACC connectivity associated with positive symptoms was implicated in the pathogenesis of disease, and this may help establish biomarkers that can be used to follow the effects of treatment. Further confirmation of this potential mechanism in future studies is needed.

Alternative network analysis methods and future studies

Individualized functional connectivity pattern analysis methods, such as personalized intrinsic network topography algorithms,\(^{74} \) might help better identify individually specific functional connectivity patterns than the group-wise, image registration–based method we used in the present study. It remains unclear what caused the aberrant corticostriatal connectivity in people with schizophrenia and relatives. Myelin-forming oligodendrocytes might be causally involved in aberrant corticostriatal connectivity.\(^{75} \) Furthermore, neuroinflammation could contribute to structural and functional dysconnectivity, because it is associated with white matter pathology in people with schizophrenia and even in the first episode of psychosis.\(^{76} \) Further investigation is needed to elucidate what causes the aberrant brain connectivity in schizophrenia. Finally, machine-learning tools may help derive individualized scores to quantify aberrant brain-connectivity patterns.\(^{77-81} \)

Limitations

The present study had several limitations. First, the sample size of the relatives was moderate, so that group could not be divided into subgroups of parents and siblings. However, their differences in neuroanatomy might be subtle.\(^{42} \) Furthermore, the familial structures of the people with schizophrenia and the relatives were not explicitly accounted for in all analyses. Second, we defined the subdivisions of the striatum using the Oxford–GSK–Imanova Striatal Connectivity Atlas\(^{50,55} \) based on a relatively low threshold of 25%. However, subdivisions defined based on a higher threshold of 50% could make the findings more specific for certain striatal sub-regions. Third, we characterized the structural connectivity of each connected path using the mean of fractional anisotropy values of voxels on the connected path under study. However, the number of streamlines, mean tract length and the average of mean diffusivity values of voxels on the connected path could also be used to characterize structural connectivity. Therefore, the present study provides results for only one specific aspect of structural connectivity. Furthermore, DTI is not equipped to describe fibre directionality in brain regions with 2 or more fibre populations that have different orientations, although the probabilistic fibre-tracking method we adopted was robust to the crossing-fibre problem.\(^{53,54} \)

Conclusion

Our findings demonstrated that people with schizophrenia showed abnormalities in functional and structural connectivity of the corticostriatal system. Impairment in the ventral system was specifically associated with unaffected relatives who are at higher genetic risk for schizophrenia, indicating that measuring ventral corticostriatal circuit function could serve as a biological marker for schizophrenia.
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